

The way from microscopic many-particle theory to macroscopic hydrodynamics

Rudolf Haussmann

*Fachbereich Physik, Universität Konstanz, D-78457 Konstanz, Germany**

(Dated: February 24, 2016; published in Journal of Physics Condensed Matter **28**, 113001 (2016))

Starting from the microscopic description of a normal fluid in terms of any kind of local interacting many-particle theory we present a well defined step by step procedure to derive the hydrodynamic equations for the macroscopic phenomena. We specify the densities of the conserved quantities as the relevant hydrodynamic variables and apply the methods of non-equilibrium statistical mechanics with projection operator techniques. As a result we obtain time-evolution equations for the hydrodynamic variables with three kinds of terms on the right-hand sides: reversible, dissipative and fluctuating terms. In their original form these equations are completely exact and contain nonlocal terms in space and time which describe nonlocal memory effects. Applying a few approximations the nonlocal properties and the memory effects are removed. As a result we find the well known hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid with Gaussian fluctuating forces. In the following we investigate if and how the time-inversion invariance is broken and how the second law of thermodynamics comes about. Furthermore, we show that the hydrodynamic equations with fluctuating forces are equivalent to stochastic Langevin equations and the related Fokker-Planck equation. Finally, we investigate the fluctuation theorem and find a modification by an additional term.

PACS numbers: 47.10.-g, 05.30.-d, 05.70.Ln, 05.40.-a

Contents

I. Introduction	2	C. Hydrodynamic equations for an ideal normal fluid without dissipation	21
II. Quantum statistics for many-particle systems	3	D. Hydrodynamic equations for a normal fluid with dissipation	23
A. Quantum dynamics	4	E. Hydrodynamic fluctuations	25
B. Quantum statistics	5	V. Time-inversion invariance and the second law of thermodynamics	28
C. Thermal equilibrium	5	VI. Langevin- and Fokker-Planck equations	29
D. Thermodynamic potentials in nonequilibrium	6	A. Stochastic processes	29
E. Projection operators	7	B. GENERIC formalism	30
III. Master equation	8	C. Entropy in thermal equilibrium	33
A. Master equation for the relevant density matrix	8	VII. Fluctuation theorem	34
B. Time-evolution equations for the expectation values	9	A. Micro reversibility and detailed balance	34
C. Mori scalar product	10	B. The fluctuation theorem in its original form	35
D. GENERIC formalism	12	C. A modified fluctuation theorem for the entropy	38
E. Fluctuating forces	14	D. Explicit calculation of the Kramers-Moyal coefficients	38
F. Continuity equations	14	E. Dependence on the minimum length	41
G. General time-evolution equations for the entropy, the energy, and the conserved quantities	15	F. Expectation value and variance of the entropy change	42
H. Thermal equilibrium	17	G. Modified Jarzynski equation for the entropy	43
I. Poisson brackets	17	H. Comparison of the fluctuation theorems	44
IV. Hydrodynamics	18	VIII. Conclusions	44
A. Hydrodynamic approximation	18		
B. Reactive contributions	20		

Acknowledgments 45

References 45

*email: rudolf.haussmann@uni-konstanz.de;
homepage: <http://www.haussmann.gmxhome.de>

I. INTRODUCTION

A normal fluid is a densely packed system of many atoms or molecules which interact with each other by forces of electromagnetic origin. The particles move nearly in a thermodynamic equilibrium which is developed at least locally on small length scales. The temperature is sufficiently high so that the many-particle system is not solid but liquid so that it can perform the typical flowing motions of a fluid [1].

On the microscopic level the many-particle system will be described by a classical or a quantum theory for the motion of the atoms or molecules which interact with each other by any kind of field [2, 3]. A detailed elaboration and solution of such a theory is nearly impossible in practice because of the strong interactions and the extreme large number of particles in the system. There are only approximations possible within the framework of a perturbation theory.

On the macroscopic level the physical behavior of a fluid is much simpler. On small length and time scales the fluid is locally in a thermal equilibrium. On large length and time scales it may be in a non-equilibrium state. It flows and transports mass, momentum, and heat like a continuous medium. The number of relevant degrees of freedom is much smaller here.

The macroscopic motion of a fluid continuum is described by a theory which is known as *hydrodynamics* [4]. This theory consists of a set of phenomenological equations which satisfy the conservation laws of the physical quantities mass, momentum, and energy locally. These equations are continuity equations for the densities and the current densities of these quantities. A closed set of equations is obtained by using proper ansatzes for the current densities in terms of the densities and gradients of the densities.

The current densities contain three kinds of terms. First they contain reversible terms which follow from the underlying microscopic theory. Second, they contain dissipative terms which result from the complicated interactions of the many particles with each other and which are modeled by a linear ansatz with gradients of the intensive thermodynamic variables like temperature, velocity, and chemical potential. Third, they contain fluctuating terms which are represented by Gaussian stochastic forces [3].

In this paper we review a well established way how the hydrodynamic equations can be derived step by step from the microscopic theory of the interacting many-particle system. We connect the more traditional derivations to more recent topics from statistical theory of systems far from equilibrium which are the GENERIC formalism [5–7] and the fluctuation theorem [8–12]. A major part of this work is devoted to *fluctuations*. The traditional approach treats the fluctuations in linear response close to equilibrium where correlation functions are evaluated in thermal equilibrium [13, 14]. However, in this paper we consider hydrodynamics and fluctuations far from, close to, and at equilibrium in a fully *nonlinear* fash-

ion. We derive nonlinear stochastic differential equations with multiplicative noise which implies that the fluctuations depend nonlinearly on the hydrodynamic variables.

In section II we start with the Liouville-von-Neumann equation for the density matrix $\varrho(t)$ of the quantum system. In a first step we identify the hydrodynamic variables which describe the relevant properties of the system. We use the projection operator formalism [15] in order to divide the physical degrees of freedom into relevant variables which describe the hydrodynamic properties on the macroscopic level and into the remaining irrelevant variables. We define a relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ by maximizing the entropy under the constraints that the relevant variables have the expectation values of the exact microscopic theory.

In section III the remaining irrelevant variables will be eliminated. As a result we obtain a master equation for the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ which includes memory effects and fluctuating terms. This equation is known as the *Robertson equation* [16]. Multiplying each relevant variable by this equation and taking the expectation values we obtain the time-evolution equations for the macroscopic degrees of freedom. Without any approximations the resulting equations already have the form of generalized hydrodynamic equations. Here our presentation follows Fick and Sauermann [17]. Subsequently, we show that without any approximations the generalized hydrodynamic equations can be converted into the form of the GENERIC formalism of Grmela and Öttinger [5–7] including the constraints for the functional derivatives of the entropy, energy, momentum, and particle number. At this stage, our equations are somewhat more general than those of Grmela and Öttinger because our equations include memory effects where the latter do not.

For a proper treatment of the fluctuations the density matrix must represent a pure state so that $\varrho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)|$ for all times t . The projection-operator formalism yields a formula for the fluctuating forces which explicitly depends on the density matrix of the initial state $\varrho(t_0)$ at the initial time t_0 . For this reason we choose $\varrho(t_0) = |\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0|$ and obtain nontrivial fluctuating forces. On the other hand, the conventional theories [16, 17] use a relevant density matrix $\varrho(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0)$ for the initial state. In this latter case the fluctuating forces are exactly zero for all times so that these earlier theories do not treat fluctuations at all.

In section IV we perform the approximations and the symmetry considerations which lead to the hydrodynamic equations with fluctuations in their usual form. In a first approximation we omit all memory effects so that we obtain the hydrodynamic equations of the GENERIC formalism in the original form of Grmela and Öttinger [5–7]. The reversible terms can be written in terms of Poisson brackets of the hydrodynamic variables and the energy of the system which has been proposed originally by Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18]. In a second step the dissipative terms are simplified by a local approximation, and the fluctuating terms are modeled by Gaus-

sian stochastic forces. The strengths of the dissipations and of the fluctuations are parametrized by an Onsager matrix according to the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. The symmetries of the system imply that the Onsager matrix can be written in terms of only three parameters, the shear viscosity η , the volume viscosity ζ , and the thermal conductivity κ . While the transport coefficients η , ζ , and κ can be calculated quantitatively in principle in this work they are considered as phenomenological parameters which must be determined experimentally. As a result we obtain the hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid which are well known from basic text books [3, 4].

In section V we investigate the violation of the time-inversion invariance and the second law of thermodynamics which are important and well known phenomena for non-equilibrium thermodynamics and hydrodynamics. As a surprise we find that the sum of the dissipative and the fluctuating terms does not break but satisfies the time-inversion invariance. This fact is true at least in section III before any approximations have been made. The approximations in section IV may imply some quantitative changes. However, they do not destroy the surprising result qualitatively or principally. In section VI we consider the relation of the hydrodynamic equations with stochastic Langevin equations and the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation. We investigate the solution of these equations in thermal equilibrium and find a grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function. Furthermore we observe and prove that in thermal equilibrium the entropy fluctuates but is constant on average as it should be.

In section VII we investigate up to what extent the fluctuation theorem of Evans *et al.* [8–10] and the Jarzynski equation [11, 12] can be applied to a normal fluid. First, we show that the derivation of the fluctuation theorem by Crooks [19–21] can be transferred successfully to the GENERIC formalism. However, we are not satisfied because the variable of the fluctuation theorem is not the entropy change of the fluid. For this reason from our theory we derive a *modified* fluctuation theorem and a *modified* Jarzynski equation for the entropy change which both contain an additional term. We calculate this additional term explicitly for a normal fluid and obtain an ultraviolet divergence. After a regularization by introducing a minimum length scale for the spatial variations of the fluctuations we obtain a finite result which, however, strongly depends on the size of the minimum length. Eventually, final comments follow in section VIII.

II. QUANTUM STATISTICS FOR MANY-PARTICLE SYSTEMS

We start with the assumption that a liquid or a gas can be described by a microscopic theory of many particles which interact with each other by some fields. The particles may be the atoms or the molecules with some effective interaction. A more general description starts

with the atomic nuclei and the electrons which interact with each other by the electromagnetic field. In the most general case we may even describe the liquid by the standard model of elementary-particle physics. In this case the particles are quarks and leptons which interact with each other by the gauge fields of the strong, the weak, and the electromagnetic interaction.

The microscopic theory may be non-relativistic or relativistic, a classical theory or a quantum theory. We note that the specific microscopic model for the many-particle system is not important. The model must satisfy only a few very general requirements. There must exist some *conserved quantities* which can be represented as integrals of *local densities*. For a normal non-relativistic fluid these conserved quantities are the mass, the momentum, and the energy, defined by

$$\hat{M}(t) = \int d^d r \, \hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}(t) = \int d^d r \, \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\hat{E}(t) = \int d^d r \, \hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (2.3)$$

The dimension of the space is denoted by d . In order to keep the formulas general we may allow any arbitrary value for d . However, the dimension must be $d > 2$ so that the system is prevented from *hydrodynamic suicide* [22]. Eventually, for the normal fluid we set $d = 3$. The functions in the integrals represent the mass density $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the energy density $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The hats on top of the symbols in Eqs. (2.1)–(2.3) indicate that the physical quantities are quantum operators. In this paper we decide to use the quantum theory to describe the microscopic many-particle system. We motivate this choice by the fact that the formulas are simpler and more compact. Nevertheless, all considerations in this paper can be performed also with a classical theory for the microscopic system.

Conserved quantities are constant in time by definition. Consequently, the densities of these conserved quantities will change slowly in time whenever only variations on large length scales are considered. Thus, the densities of the conserved quantities are suited for a proper choice of the relevant variables in order to describe the physical properties on macroscopic scales which means on large length scales and large time scales. For a normal fluid these proper relevant variables are the mass density $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the energy density $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

Explicit formulas for the conserved quantities (2.1)–(2.3) and the related densities may be obtained from the Noether Theorem, which derives these quantities from the underlying continuous symmetries of the Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}$. For the considerations and investigations in our paper it is very important, that the underlying microscopic theory is *local in space and time*. This means that there should exist a *local* Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}$ which implies local expressions for the densities of the conserved

quantities $\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Since the macroscopic relevant variables are the averages or expectation values of these densities, this requirement guarantees well defined local hydrodynamic variables.

A counter example is the commonly used system of many particles interacting with each other by a two-particle potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$. The related Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is nonlocal in space which results in a nonlocal expression for the energy density $\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. As a consequence it will become very difficult to find well defined expressions for the related current densities in the continuity equations. In this case we recommend to generalize the microscopic model so that the interaction is mediated by some local field. The interaction field should be specified in such a way so that when integrating out the field an effective interaction with the potential $V(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ will result.

A further conserved quantity is the angular momentum

$$\hat{\mathbf{L}}(t) = \int d^d r \hat{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (2.4)$$

which is related to the rotation symmetry of the system. The angular momentum *density* can be written in the form

$$\hat{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{r} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (2.5)$$

where the first term represents the orbital angular momentum and the second term represents the spin angular momentum of the particles. The formula (2.5) can be derived from the Noether theorem together with an explicit expression for the spin density $\hat{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. As commonly expected the orbital angular momentum density is expressed in terms of the radial coordinate $\mathbf{r}$ and the linear momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

However, following Belinfante [23] and Martin *et al.* [24] we can modify the momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by adding a contribution related to the spin density without changing the property of being the density of a conserved quantity. The related modified angular momentum density is then given by the formula $\hat{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{r} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in terms of the modified linear momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ only. Originally, the idea has been formulated by Belinfante [23] for relativistic field theories with a Lagrange density $\mathcal{L}$ where the densities of the conserved quantities are defined by the Noether theorem. Later it has been applied to hydrodynamics by Martin *et al.* [24]. As a consequence, the angular momentum density $\hat{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is not an independent quantity. For this reason we shall not consider the angular momentum density further on in this paper.

A. Quantum dynamics

Once we have specified the relevant variables by some densities, we need an equation of motion for the time

evolution of these quantities. If we denote any one of the densities by $\hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, its dynamics is described by the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$i\hbar \partial_t \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) = [\hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t), \hat{H}(t)] \quad (2.6)$$

where the Hamilton operator $\hat{H}(t) = \hat{E}(t)$ is given by the energy defined in Eq. (2.3). The quantum state of the physical system will be described by a state vector $|\Psi\rangle$ defined in a Hilbert space which is sometimes called the quantum wave function. Thus, we define the expectation value of a density $\hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by the scalar product

$$\langle a(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \langle \Psi | \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) | \Psi \rangle . \quad (2.7)$$

In quantum statistics the physical system is not in a pure state $|\Psi\rangle$. Rather we expect that the system is in certain orthogonal states $|\Psi_i\rangle$ with probabilities w_i . In this case the expectation value is given by

$$\langle a(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \sum_i w_i \langle \Psi_i | \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) | \Psi_i \rangle . \quad (2.8)$$

We introduce the density matrix

$$\hat{\varrho} = \sum_i w_i |\Psi_i\rangle \langle \Psi_i| \quad (2.9)$$

and then write the expectation value in the form

$$\langle a(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \text{Tr}\{\hat{\varrho} \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)\} . \quad (2.10)$$

Unfortunately, nearly the same letter is used for the mass density $\hat{\rho}$ in Eq. (2.1) and for the density matrix $\hat{\varrho}$ in (2.9) and (2.10) which can result in a confusion. For this reason, we must either look closer for the precise letter or conclude from the context which quantity is meant.

The equations (2.6)-(2.10) describe the dynamics of the quantum system in the *Heisenberg picture*. In this case the operators of the observable quantities $\hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ depend on the time where, however, the state vector $|\Psi\rangle$ or the density matrix $\hat{\varrho}$ is constant. Nevertheless, the *Schrödinger picture* is suited better for our purposes. This latter picture is obtained by the transformations

$$\hat{a}(\mathbf{r}) = \exp(-i\hat{H}t/\hbar) \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}, t) \exp(i\hat{H}t/\hbar) , \quad (2.11)$$

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \exp(-i\hat{H}t/\hbar) |\Psi\rangle , \quad (2.12)$$

$$\hat{\varrho}(t) = \exp(-i\hat{H}t/\hbar) \hat{\varrho} \exp(i\hat{H}t/\hbar) . \quad (2.13)$$

On the left-hand sides of these formulas the quantities are in the Schrödinger picture, where on the right-hand sides they are in the Heisenberg picture. For the state vector in the Schrödinger picture we find the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle \quad (2.14)$$

where for the density matrix we find the Liouville-von-Neumann equation

$$i\hbar \partial_t \hat{\varrho}(t) = [\hat{H}, \hat{\varrho}(t)] . \quad (2.15)$$

The expectation values are written in the Schrödinger picture as

$$\langle a(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{a}(\mathbf{r}) | \Psi(t) \rangle \quad (2.16)$$

for a pure quantum state and as

$$\langle a(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \text{Tr}\{\hat{\varrho}(t) \hat{a}(\mathbf{r})\} \quad (2.17)$$

in quantum statistics. In the following we always use the Schrödinger picture. In order to simplify the notations, from now on we omit the hat on top of a physical variable which specifies the operator character.

B. Quantum statistics

In quantum statistics the entropy is defined by

$$S(t) = -k_B \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) \ln \varrho(t)\} . \quad (2.18)$$

Here k_B is the Boltzmann constant which specifies the units of the entropy. For a pure quantum state $\varrho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)|$ we find the well known result

$$S(t) = -k_B \langle \Psi(t) | \ln \varrho(t) | \Psi(t) \rangle = -k_B \ln 1 = 0 . \quad (2.19)$$

In this case the entropy is zero and constant for all times t . We consider the set of *relevant* variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ which describe the main properties of the physical system. For a normal fluid these are the densities of mass, momentum, and energy. We assume that the exact solution of the Schrödinger equation $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ and the exact solution of the Liouville-von-Neumann equation $\varrho(t)$ are known. As a consequence the time evolution of the expectation values of the relevant variables

$$x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle a_i(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \quad (2.20)$$

is known exactly.

In quantum statistics we consider a mixed state described by a density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ which maximizes the entropy (2.18) under the constraints that the expectation values of the relevant variables have the exact values (2.20). In this way we obtain a maximization problem with constraints given by

$$S(t) = -k_B \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) \ln \tilde{\varrho}(t)\} = \text{maximum} , \quad (2.21)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\} = x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (2.22)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t)\} = 1 . \quad (2.23)$$

The last constraint guarantees the normalization of the density matrix. In order to calculate the solution we define the functional

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi[\tilde{\varrho}(t)] &= S(t) - \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) - \mu(t) \langle 1 \rangle_t \\ &= -k_B \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) \ln \tilde{\varrho}(t)\} \\ &\quad - \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &\quad - \mu(t) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

with the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\mu(t)$. The necessary condition to obtain the maximum implies that the variation of the functional must be zero. Hence we find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Phi[\tilde{\varrho}(t)] &= -\text{Tr}\left\{\delta\tilde{\varrho}(t) \left[k_B (\ln \tilde{\varrho}(t) + 1) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) + \mu(t) \right] \right\} = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

The solution of this equation is the *relevant* density matrix

$$\tilde{\varrho}(t) = [Z(t)]^{-1} \exp\left(-k_B^{-1} \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\right) . \quad (2.26)$$

Instead of the Lagrange parameter $\mu(t)$ we use the normalization factor $Z(t) = \exp(1 + k_B^{-1} \mu(t))$. The Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the normalization factor $Z(t)$ are determined by inserting the relevant density matrix (2.26) into the constraints (2.22) and (2.23).

The external parameters of the maximization problem (2.21)-(2.23) are the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. As a consequence the formula (2.26) defines the relevant density matrix in terms of the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. This dependence on $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is implicit via the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the normalization factor $Z(t)$.

C. Thermal equilibrium

In thermal equilibrium the liquid is homogeneous in space and time. Consequently all the expectation values of the densities $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = x_i$, the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \lambda_i$, and the normalization factor $Z(t) = Z$ are constant in space and time. Hence, the relevant density matrix (2.26) reduces to

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{\text{eq}} = Z^{-1} \exp\left(-k_B^{-1} \sum_i \lambda_i \int d^d r a_i(\mathbf{r})\right) . \quad (2.27)$$

We replace the densities $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ by the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$, and the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$. Furthermore we use the integral conserved quantities (2.1)-(2.3). Then we obtain

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{\text{eq}} = Z^{-1} \exp(-k_B^{-1} [\lambda_\rho M + \lambda_j \cdot \mathbf{P} + \lambda_\varepsilon E]) . \quad (2.28)$$

We introduce the new Lagrange parameters temperature T , chemical potential μ , and velocity $\mathbf{v}$ by the relations

$$\lambda_\rho = -\mu/mT , \quad \lambda_j = -\mathbf{v}/T , \quad \lambda_\varepsilon = 1/T . \quad (2.29)$$

Furthermore, we define the particle number $N = M/m$ where m is the mass of a single particle. Then, as a result we obtain the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{\text{eq}} = Z^{-1} \exp(-(k_B T)^{-1} [H - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P} - \mu N]) \quad (2.30)$$

for a liquid which moves with the constant velocity $\mathbf{v}$. Here the energy E is replaced by the Hamiltonian H which is a different letter for the same thing. From this result we conclude that the relevant density matrix defined in Eq. (2.26) is compatible with the quantum statistics of the thermal equilibrium.

The energy H , the momentum $\mathbf{P}$, and the particle number N are the conserved quantities of a normal fluid. For this reason these quantities commute with the Hamiltonian H . Consequently, the density matrix of the thermal equilibrium (2.30) commutes with the Hamiltonian, too, which implies $[H, \tilde{\rho}_{\text{eq}}] = 0$. Since $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{eq}}$ does not depend on the time t , it satisfies the Liouville-von-Neumann equation (2.15) and hence is an exact solution.

D. Thermodynamic potentials in nonequilibrium

The relevant density matrix (2.26) has the structure of a generalized Boltzmann distribution function where the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ depend on the space variable $\mathbf{r}$ and on the time t . Whenever these Lagrange parameters vary *slowly* in space and time, the relevant density matrix (2.26) describes a *local thermal equilibrium*. In this way the basic assumption of the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid is anticipated. The system is *globally in nonequilibrium* but *locally in equilibrium*. Nevertheless, whenever the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are not constant but vary in space and time the state is inherently a nonequilibrium.

Thus, we conclude that the relevant density matrix (2.26) is suited for the definition of the thermodynamic potentials in the non-equilibrium state. From the normalization condition (2.23) we obtain the partition function

$$Z(t) = \text{Tr} \left\{ \exp \left(-k_B^{-1} \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right\}. \quad (2.31)$$

Clearly, $Z(t) = Z[\lambda(t)]$ is a functional of the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The dependence on the time t is implicit and hence plays a minor role here. As usual in thermodynamics we define the thermodynamic potential by the logarithm

$$F[\lambda(t)] = -k_B \ln Z[\lambda(t)]. \quad (2.32)$$

Up to a factor of temperature T this potential is the generalization of the grand canonical thermodynamic potential to the non-equilibrium state. We take the variation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F[\lambda(t)] &= -k_B (Z[\lambda(t)])^{-1} \delta Z[\lambda(t)] \\ &= \text{Tr} \left\{ \tilde{\rho}(t) \left(\sum_i \int d^d r \delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_i \int d^d r \delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \text{Tr} \{ \tilde{\rho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) \} \\ &= \sum_i \int d^d r \delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

and obtain the expectation values of the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta F[\lambda(t)]}{\delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = x_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (2.34)$$

We note that in the definition of the functional derivative (2.33) the sum is taken over the index i and the integral is calculated over the space variable $\mathbf{r}$ only. The time t plays a minor role as an implicit constant parameter.

In the next step we insert the relevant density matrix (2.26) into the entropy formula (2.18). Because of

$$\ln \tilde{\rho}(t) = k_B^{-1} \left(F[\lambda(t)] - \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) \right) \quad (2.35)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S[x(t)] &= -F[\lambda(t)] + \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \text{Tr} \{ \tilde{\rho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) \} \\ &= -F[\lambda(t)] + \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) x_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

This is the well known formula of a Legendre transformation. Hence, the entropy $S(t) = S[x(t)]$ is a functional of the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Once again, the dependence on the time is implicit. From the variation

$$\delta S[x(t)] = \sum_i \int d^d r \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (2.37)$$

we obtain the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (2.38)$$

From these considerations we conclude that the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are conjugated variables with respect to each other in the sense of a Legendre transformation.

An additional functional which we need in the following investigations is the energy of the system

$$E(t) = E[x(t)] = \text{Tr} \{ \tilde{\rho}(t) H \} = \langle H \rangle_t. \quad (2.39)$$

It has two contributions, the internal energy and the kinetic energy of the system. The definition of the relevant density matrix (2.26) implies that originally the energy $E(t) = E[\lambda(t)]$ is a functional of the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. However, the Legendre transformation yields an invertible mapping between the variables $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. For this reason the energy $E(t) = E[x(t)]$ may be represented alternatively as a functional of the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The latter functional will be used in the following considerations.

E. Projection operators

For a pure quantum state the density matrix is defined by $\rho(t) = |\Psi(t)\rangle\langle\Psi(t)|$ where $|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is a solution of the Schrödinger equation (2.14). Consequently, $\rho(t)$ is a solution of the Liouville-von-Neumann equation (2.15). If the exact solution is known we may argue that the density matrix $\rho(t)$ is *exact*.

On the other hand the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ defined in (2.26) is an *approximation*. However, it is exact in the subspace of the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and the unity operator 1 in the sense that the related expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)a_i(\mathbf{r})\}$ and $\langle 1 \rangle_t = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)\}$ have the exact values, since it is

$$\text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)a_i(\mathbf{r})\} = x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\rho(t)a_i(\mathbf{r})\}, \quad (2.40)$$

$$\text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)\} = 1 = \text{Tr}\{\rho(t)\}. \quad (2.41)$$

The transition from the exact density matrix $\rho(t)$ to the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ is a mapping which may be written as

$$\tilde{\rho}(t) = f[\rho(t)]. \quad (2.42)$$

One can convince oneself easily that this mapping is a projection. The image which is the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ depends only on the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Thus, applying the mapping twice results in the same relevant density matrix. Hence, it is

$$f[f[\rho(t)]] = f[\rho(t)] = \tilde{\rho}(t). \quad (2.43)$$

The original mapping (2.42) is nonlinear. By considering an infinitesimal variation we may derive a linear Projection operator $P[x(t)]$. The mapping (2.42) and the related linear projection operator were used by Robertson [16] in order to derive a master equation for the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ and a time-evolution equation for the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. A detailed description of these derivations can be found in the chapters 17 and 18 of the book by Fick and Sauermann [17].

In this paper we use a slightly different projection operator which was introduced by Grabert [15]. This projection operator does not act on the density matrix but rather on the physical observable variable. For any variable Y it is defined by

$$P[x(t)]Y = \left(1 + \sum_i \int d^d r [a_i(\mathbf{r}) - x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)] \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}\right) \times \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)Y\}. \quad (2.44)$$

This formula may be viewed as a Taylor-series expansion with respect to powers of the fluctuations of the relevant variables $[a_i(\mathbf{r}) - x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)]$ up to the linear order. Eqs. (2.40) and (2.41) imply that the expectation value of these fluctuations is zero in both cases where it is calculated either with the exact density matrix $\rho(t)$ or with the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$. Thus, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{\rho(t)[a_i(\mathbf{r}) - x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)]\} &= \\ = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)[a_i(\mathbf{r}) - x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)]\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

If we calculate the expectation value of Eq. (2.44) with the exact density matrix, then it simplifies into

$$\text{Tr}\{\rho(t)P[x(t)]Y\} = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\rho}(t)Y\} = \text{Tr}\{f[\rho(t)]Y\}. \quad (2.46)$$

Applying furthermore Eq. (2.42) to explain the second equality sign and to obtain the term on the right-hand side, we conclude that when taking the expectation value of any variable Y the projection operator of Grabert (2.44) is equivalent to the nonlinear projection of the density matrix (2.42).

The Grabert projection operator (2.44) is defined to act to the right-hand side onto the physical observable variable Y . Alternatively, the projection operator can be defined in a version which acts to the left-hand side onto the density matrix. This version is known under the name *Kawasaki-Guntton projection operator* [25] and leads to the same results.

The right-hand side of Eq. (2.44) is a linear combination of the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and the unity operator 1. Consequently, the operator $P[x(t)]$ projects any arbitrary variable Y onto the subspace of the variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and 1. If in Eq. (2.44) we replace Y by $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and 1 we explicitly obtain

$$P[x(t)]a_i(\mathbf{r}) = a_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad P[x(t)]1 = 1. \quad (2.47)$$

Furthermore, if Y' is any arbitrary linear combination of the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and the unity operator 1 we obtain $P[x(t)]Y' = Y'$. This result is true since $P[x(t)]$ is a linear operator. If in a special case we chose $Y' = P[x(t')]Y$ we obtain

$$P[x(t)]P[x(t')]Y = P[x(t')]Y. \quad (2.48)$$

Since the variable Y is arbitrary it can be omitted so that formally we obtain the operator equation

$$P[x(t)]P[x(t')] = P[x(t')]. \quad (2.49)$$

This equation is a generalization of the fundamental property of any projection operator: applying the operator twice yields the same result as applying the operator only once. However, the generalization is due to the fact that in the arguments the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t')$ may be taken for different times t and t' .

While the operator $P[x(t)]$ projects onto the subspace of the relevant variables, it is useful to define the orthogonal operator

$$Q[x(t)] = 1 - P[x(t)] \quad (2.50)$$

which projects onto the subspace of the remaining irrelevant variables. Applying this latter operator twice for different times t and t' , inserting the definition (2.50), and using the equation (2.49) we obtain after an explicit calculation

$$Q[x(t)]Q[x(t')] = Q[x(t)]. \quad (2.51)$$

The equations (2.49) and (2.51) are very similar. However, one should be aware of the fact that on the right-hand sides there is a difference in the dependence on the times t' and t .

The projection operator $P[x(t)]$ depends implicitly on the time t via the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. For this reason, in the following derivation of the master equation we expect that the time derivative of the projection operator $\partial_t P[x(t)]$ will occur. However, we can show that inside the master equation these kinds of terms are zero and hence drop out. To do this we calculate the expectation value of any variable Y with the exact density matrix $\varrho(t)$ where the time derivative of the projection operator $\partial_t P[x(t)]$ is applied. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) \partial_t P[x(t)] Y\} &= \text{Tr}\left\{\varrho(t) \partial_t \left(1 + \sum_i \int d^d r [a_i(\mathbf{r}) - x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)] \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}\right) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) Y\}\right\} \\ &= \partial_t \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) Y\} - \sum_i \int d^d r (\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) Y\} \\ &= \partial_t \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) Y\} - \partial_t \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) Y\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.52)$$

The second last equality sign results from the chain rule of the differential calculus applied to the second term. For this purpose we note that the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ depends on the time t implicitly via the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Eq. (2.52) was derived for any arbitrary variable Y . Hence we may omit Y and the trace so that we obtain more generally

$$\varrho(t) \partial_t P[x(t)] = 0. \quad (2.53)$$

Later we shall use this equation in order to show that in the master equation the time derivative of the projection operator $\partial_t P[x(t)]$ drops out.

III. MASTER EQUATION

The goal of this paper is the derivation of the hydrodynamic equations for the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Using the definition (2.20) and the Liouville-von-Neumann equation (2.15) we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \text{Tr}\{\partial_t \varrho(t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &= (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{[H, \varrho(t)] a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &= (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{H \varrho(t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) - \varrho(t) H a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) H a_i(\mathbf{r}) - \varrho(t) a_i(\mathbf{r}) H\} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) [H, a_i(\mathbf{r})]\} \\ &= i \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) L a_i(\mathbf{r})\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

In order to simplify the notations we define the Liouville operator L which acts on any arbitrary variable Y to its right-hand side according to

$$L Y = \hbar^{-1} [H, Y]. \quad (3.2)$$

Comparing the first line and the last line of Eq. (3.1) with each other we find that the Liouville-von-Neumann equation can be written formally as

$$\partial_t \varrho(t) = \varrho(t) i L. \quad (3.3)$$

The time-evolution equations for the expectation values (3.1) should be transformed into a *closed* form so that the right-hand side is preferably a functional of the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Unfortunately, in the last line of Eq. (3.1) this functional form is not obvious. However, we know that via the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ is a functional of the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Thus, we alternatively write the expectation values in terms of the relevant density matrix as shown on the left-hand side of (2.40) and obtain

$$\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\partial_t \tilde{\varrho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{r})\}. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to proceed we need a time-evolution equation for the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ which is equivalent to the Liouville-von-Neumann equation. This type of equation is known as the *master equation* which we shall derive in the following.

A. Master equation for the relevant density matrix

Using the projection operators

$$P(t) = P[x(t)], \quad Q(t) = Q[x(t)] \quad (3.5)$$

we divide the exact density matrix $\varrho(t)$ into a relevant part $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ and into a remaining irrelevant part $\varrho'(t)$ according to

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(t) &= \varrho(t) [P(t) + Q(t)] \\ &= \varrho(t) P(t) + \varrho(t) Q(t) \\ &= \tilde{\varrho}(t) + \varrho'(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

so that

$$\tilde{\varrho}(t) = \varrho(t) P(t), \quad \varrho'(t) = \varrho(t) Q(t). \quad (3.7)$$

Then, from Eq. (3.3) we obtain a time-evolution equation for the relevant density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{\varrho}(t) &= \partial_t(\varrho(t) P(t)) \\ &= (\partial_t \varrho(t)) P(t) + \varrho(t) (\partial_t P(t)) \\ &= \varrho(t) i L P(t) + \varrho(t) (\partial_t P(t)) .\end{aligned}\quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, we find a time-evolution equation for the remaining irrelevant part of the density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \varrho'(t) &= \partial_t(\varrho(t) Q(t)) \\ &= (\partial_t \varrho(t)) Q(t) + \varrho(t) (\partial_t Q(t)) \\ &= \varrho(t) i L Q(t) - \varrho(t) (\partial_t P(t)) .\end{aligned}\quad (3.9)$$

Clearly, Eq. (2.53) implies that in both equations on the right-hand sides the second terms drop which contain the time derivative of the projection operator $\partial_t P(t)$. In the first terms of the respective last line we insert the decomposition (3.6). Then, as a result we obtain the two coupled equations

$$\partial_t \tilde{\varrho}(t) = \tilde{\varrho}(t) i L P(t) + \varrho'(t) i L P(t) , \quad (3.10)$$

$$\partial_t \varrho'(t) = \tilde{\varrho}(t) i L Q(t) + \varrho'(t) i L Q(t) \quad (3.11)$$

for both parts of the density matrix. In the next step we derive the master equation for the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ by eliminating the remaining irrelevant part $\varrho'(t)$. In order to do this we calculate the solution of the second equation. In a first step we solve the homogeneous part of the equation

$$\partial_t U(t_0, t) = U(t_0, t) i L Q(t) \quad (3.12)$$

together with the initial condition $U(t_0, t_0) = 1$. Then we obtain

$$U(t_0, t) = T \exp \left\{ i \int_{t_0}^t dt' L Q(t') \right\} . \quad (3.13)$$

Here T is a time ordering operator similar like it is known from quantum-field theory. For $t > t_0$ this operator orders the times ascending from left to right. In our case the ordering is reverse to the usual order in quantum field theory. In a second step we solve the inhomogeneous equation using a proper ansatz and obtain the remaining irrelevant part of the density matrix

$$\varrho'(t) = \varrho'(t_0) U(t_0, t) + \int_{t_0}^t dt' \tilde{\varrho}(t') i L Q(t') U(t', t) . \quad (3.14)$$

Now, we insert this formula into the time-evolution equation for the relevant density matrix (3.10), replace $\varrho'(t_0) = \varrho(t_0) Q(t_0)$ by using (3.7), and change the order of some terms in the sum. Thus, as a result we obtain the *master equation* for the relevant density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \tilde{\varrho}(t) &= \tilde{\varrho}(t) i L P(t) \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t dt' \tilde{\varrho}(t') i L Q(t') U(t', t) i L P(t) \\ &+ \varrho(t_0) Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) i L P(t) .\end{aligned}\quad (3.15)$$

This equation is the central result of this subsection. We note that the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ and the projection operators $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ have a specific form. They are defined by Eqs. (2.26), (2.44) and (2.50), respectively. In the special form (3.15) the master equation was first derived by Robertson [16] and is known under the name *Robertson equation*.

A simpler version of the master equation was derived earlier by Nakajima and Zwanzig [26, 27] where the projection operators P and Q are constant in time. In this case the projection $\tilde{\varrho}(t) = \varrho(t) P$ is a *linear* mapping within the space of quantum operators or within the space of functions in the classical phase space. Consequently, in Eq. (3.13) the time-ordering operator T can be omitted so that the time evolution is represented by a simple operator-valued exponential function $U(t_0, t) = \exp\{i L Q(t - t_0)\}$. As a result a linear response theory was derived in order to describe small deviations from thermal equilibrium. This theory is well known as the *Zwanzig-Mori formalism* [27–31]. However, in the present paper we want to consider non-equilibrium states far from equilibrium in a fully *nonlinear* fashion. In the Robertson equation (3.15) the projection operators (3.5) depend *nonlinearly* on the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(t)$ and hence implicitly also on the time t .

The three terms on the right-hand side of the formula (3.15) can be interpreted in the following way. The first term is a contribution to the dynamics of the relevant variables. The second term contains the memory effects which are caused by the elimination of the irrelevant variables. The third term contains the remaining effects of the irrelevant variables. This last term implies fluctuating forces which mainly represent noise.

B. Time-evolution equations for the expectation values

For the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the time-evolution equation is defined by (3.4). On the right-hand side of this equation we insert the master equation (3.15) for the time derivative of the relevant density matrix. Since the most right projection operators of the three terms of (3.15) will always act on a relevant variable $a_i(\mathbf{r})$, Eq. (2.47) implies that we may drop these projection operators. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) i L a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t dt' \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t') i L Q(t') U(t', t) i L a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &+ \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t_0) Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) i L a_i(\mathbf{r})\} .\end{aligned}\quad (3.16)$$

The Liouville operator L as defined in (3.2) usually acts to the right onto a variable Y . However, by closer inspection of the manipulations in Eq. (3.1) we see that the Liouville operator can act alternatively to the left onto the density matrix. In this way we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\varrho}(t) i \mathbf{L} &= (i\hbar)^{-1} [H, \tilde{\varrho}(t)] \\
&= (i\hbar)^{-1} \int_0^1 d\alpha (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^\alpha \left[H, -k_B^{-1} \sum_k \int d^d r \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}, t) a_k(\mathbf{r}) \right] (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^{1-\alpha} \\
&= -k_B^{-1} \sum_k \int d^d r \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}, t) \int_0^1 d\alpha (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^\alpha (i\hbar)^{-1} [H, a_k(\mathbf{r})] (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^{1-\alpha} \\
&= k_B^{-1} \sum_k \int d^d r \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}, t) \int_0^1 d\alpha (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^\alpha (i \mathbf{L} a_k(\mathbf{r})) (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^{1-\alpha} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

On the right-hand side of the first line the commutator is evaluated by inserting the formula (2.26) for the relevant density matrix where the exponential function is replaced by its product expansion. In this way, the integral formula with the commutator in the second line is obtained. Now, using the result of the calculation in (3.17) we can transform the first two terms on the right-hand side of the time-evolution equation (3.16). We define the frequency matrix

$$\Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = k_B^{-1} \int_0^1 d\alpha \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\varrho}(t))^\alpha (i \mathbf{L} a_k(\mathbf{r}')) (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^{1-\alpha} a_i(\mathbf{r})\} , \tag{3.18}$$

the memory matrix

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = k_B^{-1} \int_0^1 d\alpha \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\varrho}(t'))^\alpha (i \mathbf{L} a_k(\mathbf{r}')) (\tilde{\varrho}(t'))^{1-\alpha} \mathbf{Q}(t') U(t', t) (i \mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}))\} , \tag{3.19}$$

and the fluctuating forces

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t_0) \mathbf{Q}(t_0) U(t_0, t) i \mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})\} . \tag{3.20}$$

Then we obtain the time-evolution equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \sum_k \int d^d r' \Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t) \\
&+ \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t') \\
&+ f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

We note that the first two terms on the right-hand side depend explicitly on the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The three terms on the right-hand side can be interpreted as follows. The first term describes a coupling within the subspace of the relevant variables. The second term describes memory effects which result from the interaction of the relevant variables with the eliminated irrelevant variables. The last term contains the remaining forces of the eliminated variables which are mostly fluctuations on short space and time scales.

If at the initial-time t_0 we chose the density matrix as $\varrho(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0)$ then we find

$$\varrho(t_0) \mathbf{Q}(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0) \mathbf{Q}(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0) - \tilde{\varrho}(t_0) \mathbf{P}(t_0) = 0 . \tag{3.22}$$

As a result the fluctuating forces (3.20) are $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0$. The time-evolution equation (3.21) together with the frequency matrix (3.18) and the memory matrix (3.19) but without the fluctuating forces (3.20) was earlier derived by Robertson [16]. For a detailed description see chapter 18 in the book of Fick and Sauermann [17].

C. Mori scalar product

The Mori scalar product is a hermitian scalar product for two quantum mechanical variables Y_1 and Y_2 . It can be used to simplify the formulas for the frequency matrix (3.18) and the memory matrix (3.19). Originally [30, 31] it was defined for the thermal equilibrium using the density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}_{\text{eq}}$ which has the structure of a Boltzmann distribution function like (2.30). However, it can be generalized to the non-equilibrium state by using the relevant density matrix (2.26) instead. In this paper we define the generalized Mori scalar product by

$$(Y_1|Y_2)_t = \int_0^1 d\alpha \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\varrho}(t))^\alpha Y_1^+ (\tilde{\varrho}(t))^{1-\alpha} Y_2\} . \tag{3.23}$$

The relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ depends on the time implicitly via the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Hence, the same is true also for the Mori scalar product. The usual rules for scalar products are valid which are known from quantum theory. It is bilinear, positive definite and hermitian. The latter property implies e.g. the equation $(Y_1|Y_2)_t = (Y_2|Y_1)_t^*$.

Until now we have defined three kinds of operators which act on the variables Y . These are the projection operators $\mathbf{P}(t)$, $\mathbf{Q}(t)$, and the Liouville operator $\mathbf{L}$ defined in Eqs. (2.44), (2.50), and (3.2), respectively. In the following we investigate to which extent these operators are self adjoint or hermitian with respect to the Mori scalar product. We insert the projection operator (2.44) into the Mori scalar product (3.23) once in front of the second variable Y_2 and once in front of the first variable

Y_1 . Then, after some calculations we find the symmetric formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Y_1|P(t)|Y_2)_t &= (Y_1|P(t)Y_2)_t = (P(t)Y_1|Y_2)_t \\
 &= \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\rho}(t)Y_1^+)\text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\rho}(t)Y_2)\} - \sum_{ik} \int d^d r \int d^d r' \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\rho}(t)Y_1^+)\} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \chi_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \left(\frac{\delta}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \text{Tr}\{(\tilde{\rho}(t)Y_2)\} \right) \} \quad (3.24)
 \end{aligned}$$

together with the susceptibility

$$\chi_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = \frac{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\delta \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = \frac{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)}{\delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \frac{\delta^2 F[\lambda(t)]}{\delta \lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} . \quad (3.25)$$

An analogous formula is found also for the orthogonal projection operator (2.50) which reads

$$(Y_1|Q(t)|Y_2)_t = (Y_1|Q(t)Y_2)_t = (Q(t)Y_1|Y_2)_t . \quad (3.26)$$

Thus, we conclude that the projection operators $P(t)$ and $Q(t)$ are both self adjoint or hermitian. This property guarantees that the projection operators are compatible with the generalized Mori scalar product.

It is completely different with the Liouville operator L . If we insert this operator into the two places in front of the second variable and in front of the first variable, we obtain

$$(Y_1|LY_2)_t = (LY_1|Y_2)_t \iff [\tilde{\rho}(t), H] = 0 . \quad (3.27)$$

This means that the Liouville operator L is hermitian only if the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ commutes with the Hamiltonian operator H . Eq. (3.27) is true in thermal equilibrium where the density matrix represents the grand canonical ensemble and is given by (2.30). For, the momentum $\mathbf{P}$ and the particle number N are conserved quantities and commute with the Hamiltonian H . Hence, $\tilde{\rho}_{\text{eq}}$ commutes with H . However, it is not true generally in the non-equilibrium state. Consequently, the Liouville-Operator L is not generally self adjoint or hermitian.

Now, we rewrite the frequency matrix (3.18) in terms of the Mori scalar product and obtain

$$\Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = -i k_B^{-1} (L a_k(\mathbf{r}') | a_i(\mathbf{r}))_t . \quad (3.28)$$

Likewise we rewrite the memory matrix (3.19) and obtain

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = k_B^{-1} (L a_k(\mathbf{r}') | Q(t') U(t', t) L a_i(\mathbf{r}))_t . \quad (3.29)$$

We have moved the imaginary factors i in front of the Mori scalar product. The new formulas (3.28) and (3.29) have a much simpler structure. However, they do not look like as if they would be symmetrical.

However, a symmetrization is possible with respect to the orthogonal projection operator $Q(t)$ since this operator is hermitian according to (3.26) and satisfies the

general formula (2.51). We note that the time-evolution operator $U(t_0, t)$ defined in (3.13) occurs always with an orthogonal projection operator $Q(t_0)$ in front of it. Consequently, Eq. (2.51) implies that we may do the following two things. First we may rewrite

$$Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) = Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) Q(t) , \quad (3.30)$$

Second, we may replace the time-evolution operator by an expression with a symmetrical exponent with two orthogonal projection operators according to

$$U(t_0, t) = \mathbb{T} \exp \left\{ i \int_{t_0}^t dt' Q(t') L Q(t') \right\} . \quad (3.31)$$

Now, we insert the operator (3.30) into Eq. (3.29) and use the property that the orthogonal projection operators are hermitian according to (3.26). In this way we obtain the memory matrix

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = k_B^{-1} (Q(t') L a_k(\mathbf{r}') | U(t', t) Q(t) L a_i(\mathbf{r}))_t . \quad (3.32)$$

This formula is symmetric with respect to everything except the position of the time-evolution operator $U(t', t)$.

A further symmetrization of the frequency matrix (3.28) and the memory matrix (3.32) is possible only if additionally the Liouville operator L is hermitian. This fact is true only in thermal equilibrium. In this special case we find the symmetric frequency matrix

$$\Omega_{ik}^{(\text{eq})}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -i k_B^{-1} (a_k(\mathbf{r}') | L | a_i(\mathbf{r}))_{\text{eq}} . \quad (3.33)$$

Likewise we find the symmetric memory matrix

$$M_{ik}^{(\text{eq})}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = k_B^{-1} (Q(t') L a_k(\mathbf{r}') | \times U(t', t) | Q(t) L a_i(\mathbf{r}))_{\text{eq}} . \quad (3.34)$$

Since in thermal equilibrium the Liouville operator L and the time-evolution operator U can be placed either into the front part or into the back part of the Mori scalar

product, we write them in the middle between two vertical bars.

We note that for the frequency matrix and for the memory matrix the indices, the space variables and the time variables on the left-hand sides of the equations have the opposite orders than those on the right hand-sides of the equations. This fact is a consequence of our definition for the expectation values (2.17) where the density matrix is left and the physical variable is right. Likewise it is a consequence of our projection operator (2.44) which acts to the right onto the physical variable. Alternatively, we may reverse the orders of the operators inside the traces. In this latter case we obtain expressions for the frequency matrix and for the memory matrix with the same orders for the indices, space arguments, and time arguments on both sides of the equations. However, the difference between our notation and the latter alternative notation is only formal. There is no difference in the results.

D. GENERIC formalism

In the derivation of the time-evolution equation (3.21) together with the frequency matrix (3.28), the memory matrix (3.32), and the fluctuating forces (3.20) we followed the original work by Robertson [16] and the presentation by Fick and Sauermann [17]. An alternative formulation of these dynamical equations was given by Öttinger and Grmela [5–7] within the framework of a general concept which is known as the GENERIC formalism. In this subsection we want to convert our time-evolution equation (3.21) into the GENERIC form which should have the structure

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ &+ \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta S[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} \\ &+ f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) . \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

The first two contributions on the right-hand side are represented in terms of functional derivatives of the energy $E[x(t)]$ and the entropy $S[x(t)]$ with respect to the expectation values of the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. They contain direct couplings of the relevant variables and indirect couplings with memory effects, respectively. The third contribution describes the remaining fluctuating forces of the irrelevant variables which have been integrated out. We note that the second and the third term of our time-evolution equation (3.21) already have the required form because the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are functional derivatives of the entropy with respect to the expectation values.

However, the first term in (3.21) does not have the required form. The couplings of these forces to the functional derivatives of the *entropy* must be replaced by couplings to the functional derivatives of the *energy*. Hence, the first term must be calculated anew. In order to do this we go back to Eq. (3.16). As an additional constraint we require that the Hamilton operator H is within the space generated by the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ so that it can be represented by the linear combination

$$H = \int d^d r \sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (3.36)$$

with appropriate coefficients ε_i . In the simplest case this requirement is satisfied if one of the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ is the energy density. Thus, from Eq. (2.47) we obtain the projection

$$\mathcal{P}(t) H = H . \quad (3.37)$$

Using this formula we transform the first term on the right-hand side of (3.16) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) i \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})\} &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [H, a_i(\mathbf{r})]\} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [(\mathcal{P}(t) H), a_i(\mathbf{r})]\} \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_k \int d^d r' \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_k(\mathbf{r}'), a_i(\mathbf{r})]\} \frac{\delta}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) H\} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_k \int d^d r' \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_i(\mathbf{r}), a_k(\mathbf{r}']\} \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ &= \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

In the third line of this equation we have inserted the ex-

plicit form of the projection operator (2.44). Eventually,

the first term arrives at the required form of the related term in Eq. (3.35) together with the *Poisson matrix*

$$L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_i(\mathbf{r}), a_k(\mathbf{r}')] \} . \quad (3.39)$$

On the right-hand side we may replace the quantum mechanical commutator by the classical Poisson bracket. This fact explains the name Poisson matrix because the expression is the expectation value of the Poisson bracket of two relevant variables. The Poisson matrix is antisymmetric according to

$$L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = -L_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; t) \quad (3.40)$$

which follows from the related property of the commutators and the Poisson brackets.

As a result we find that the time-evolution equation (3.16) originally derived by Robertson [16] can be converted into the GENERIC form. However, the GENERIC formalism is not complete until now. As additional elements there will be some constraints which we derive in the following. First, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \sum_k \int d^d r' \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_i(\mathbf{r}), a_k(\mathbf{r}')] \} \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t) \\ &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \text{Tr} \left\{ \tilde{\varrho}(t) \left[a_i(\mathbf{r}), \sum_k \int d^d r' \lambda_k(\mathbf{r}', t) a_k(\mathbf{r}') \right] \right\} \\ &= (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_i(\mathbf{r}), -k_B \ln \tilde{\varrho}(t)] \} \\ &= (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{a_i(\mathbf{r}) [\ln \tilde{\varrho}(t), \tilde{\varrho}(t)] \} (-k_B) = 0 . \quad (3.41) \end{aligned}$$

The transition from the third line to the fourth line is done by using the explicit form of the relevant density matrix (2.26). The last equality sign follows from the commutator $[\ln \tilde{\varrho}(t), \tilde{\varrho}(t)] = 0$. Thus, we obtain the first constraint

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 . \quad (3.42)$$

The antisymmetry of the Poisson matrix (3.40) implies a related constraint in the adjoint form

$$\sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = 0 . \quad (3.43)$$

Second, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \int d^d r \mathbb{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\ &= \sum_i \int d^d r \mathbb{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) H\} \\ &= \mathbb{L} \mathbf{P}(t) H = \mathbb{L} H = \hbar^{-1} [H, H] = 0 . \quad (3.44) \end{aligned}$$

We multiply the memory matrix (3.32) by a functional derivative of the energy $E[x(t)]$, sum over the latter index, and integrate over the latter space variable. Then, applying Eq. (3.44) we obtain the second constraint

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta E[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} = 0 . \quad (3.45)$$

If on the other hand we sum over the former index and integrate over the former space variable we obtain the constraint in the adjoint form

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = 0 . \quad (3.46)$$

Further constraints can be derived for the conserved quantities. In a normal fluid besides the energy E also the momentum $\mathbf{P}$ and the particle number N are conserved quantities. Once again, the expectation values of these quantities are functionals of the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ according to

$$\mathbf{P}[x(t)] = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) \mathbf{P}\} , \quad (3.47)$$

$$N[x(t)] = \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) N\} . \quad (3.48)$$

We require that similar like the Hamilton operator (3.36) the operators of the further conserved quantities can also be represented as linear combinations of the relevant variables

$$\mathbf{P} = \int d^d r \sum_i \mathbf{p}_i a_i(\mathbf{r}) , \quad (3.49)$$

$$N = \int d^d r \sum_i n_i a_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (3.50)$$

where $\mathbf{p}_i$ and n_i are appropriate coefficients. Consequently, we find the projections

$$\mathbf{P}(t) \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} , \quad \mathbf{P}(t) N = N . \quad (3.51)$$

If in Eq. (3.38) we replace the Hamiltonian by the other conserved quantities, we can perform analogous manipulations and transformations, however in backward direction from bottom to top. In this way we obtain constraints for the momentum

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [(\mathbf{P}(t) \mathbf{P}), a_i(\mathbf{r})] \} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [\mathbf{P}, a_i(\mathbf{r})] \} \quad (3.52) \end{aligned}$$

and for the particle number

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [(\mathbf{P}(t) N), a_i(\mathbf{r})] \} \\ &= (i/\hbar) \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [N, a_i(\mathbf{r})] \} . \quad (3.53) \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand sides may be zero or nonzero. The result depends on the commutators of the conserved quantities with the relevant variables. For our two conserved quantities in a normal fluid we find

$$[a_i(\mathbf{r}), \mathbf{P}] = -i\hbar \nabla a_i(\mathbf{r}) , \quad [a_i(\mathbf{r}), N] = 0 . \quad (3.54)$$

Thus, we obtain the constraints for the momentum

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = -\nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (3.55)$$

and for the particle number

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 . \quad (3.56)$$

Likewise, the considerations of Eq. (3.44) can be performed with the conserved quantities. Thus, for the momentum we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \int d^d r \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\ &= \sum_i \int d^d r \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) \mathbf{P}\} \\ &= \mathcal{L} \mathbf{P}(t) \mathbf{P} = \mathcal{L} \mathbf{P} = \hbar^{-1} [H, \mathbf{P}] = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

and for the particle number

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i \int d^d r \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\ &= \sum_i \int d^d r \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) N\} \\ &= \mathcal{L} \mathbf{P}(t) N = \mathcal{L} N = \hbar^{-1} [H, N] = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

In these cases the right-hand sides are zero always because the operators of the conserved quantities commute with the Hamiltonian. Consequently, as results we obtain further constraints for the momentum

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} = 0 . \quad (3.59)$$

and for the particle number

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta N[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} = 0 . \quad (3.60)$$

In summary for the two conserved quantities momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and particle number $N[x(t)]$ we have derived the four constraints (3.55), (3.56), and (3.59), (3.60). In these constraints the functional derivatives are placed always on the right-hand side. There are four additional constraints in the adjoint form where the functional derivatives are placed on the left-hand side.

Thus, the main components of the GENERIC formalism are found. They are represented by the time-evolution equation for the expectation values of the relevant variables (3.35) and by a set of constraints for the

functionals of the energy $E[x(t)]$, entropy $S[x(t)]$, and the conserved quantities like the momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and the particle number $N[x(t)]$. Furthermore, there are explicit formulas for the Poisson matrix (3.39), the memory matrix (3.32), and the fluctuating forces (3.20).

E. Fluctuating forces

The third term of the time-evolution equation (3.35) represents the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which are defined in Eq. (3.20). In this case, we may again use the time-evolution operator in the symmetric form (3.30). Then we obtain

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t_0) \mathbf{Q}(t_0) U(t_0, t) \mathbf{Q}(t) \mathcal{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})\} \quad (3.61)$$

where $U(t_0, t)$ is defined in Eq. (3.31). If we follow the considerations of Eqs. (3.44), (3.57) and (3.58) we obtain constraints for the fluctuating forces which are similar to those for the memory matrix. We find the constraints for the energy

$$\sum_i \int d^d r f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = 0 , \quad (3.62)$$

for the momentum

$$\sum_i \int d^d r f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = 0 , \quad (3.63)$$

and for the particle number

$$\sum_i \int d^d r f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = 0 . \quad (3.64)$$

However, we do not find a related constraint for the entropy $S[x(t)]$.

The fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ depend essentially on the exact density matrix $\varrho(t_0)$ at the initial time t_0 . If we choose a pure state density matrix $\varrho(t_0) = |\Psi(t_0)\rangle\langle\Psi(t_0)|$ then we may expect that the fluctuating forces vary on short length scales and short time scales. However, if alternatively we choose a relevant density matrix $\varrho(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0)$ as defined in Eq. (2.26) then the fluctuating forces are zero always, everywhere in space and all the time.

F. Continuity equations

Until now the quantum mechanical operators $a_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which represent the relevant variables in terms of some densities are quite general and have not been specified further. Since eventually a normal fluid is considered in our case the relevant variables are the densities of conserved quantities. The Noether theorem provides explicit expressions not only for the densities $a_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ but also for the related current densities $b_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ so that on the level of quantum operators the continuity equation

$$\partial_t a_i(\mathbf{r}, t) + \partial_m b_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 \quad (3.65)$$

is valid where $\partial_m = \partial/\partial r_m$ are the differential operators for the spatial partial derivatives. Here the quantum operators are defined temporarily in the Heisenberg picture so that they depend explicitly on the time. Therefore, for the densities there exist Heisenberg equations of motion which read

$$\partial_t a_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = i \mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = (i\hbar)^{-1} [a_i(\mathbf{r}, t), H(t)] . \quad (3.66)$$

Now, comparing the two equations (3.65) and (3.66) in the Heisenberg picture we obtain

$$i \mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_m b_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (3.67)$$

Equivalently, in the Schrödinger picture we find

$$i \mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) = -\partial_m b_{im}(\mathbf{r}) . \quad (3.68)$$

Thus, the Liouville operator $\mathbf{L}$ maps the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$ onto spatial divergences of the current densities $\partial_m b_{im}(\mathbf{r})$.

The expectation values of the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are defined by the formula (2.20) where $\varrho(t)$ is the exact density matrix. Likewise, we define the expectation values of the current densities by

$$J_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \langle b_{im}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t = \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\} . \quad (3.69)$$

Then, from the continuity equations for the quantum operators we obtain the related continuity equation for the expectation values

$$\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) + \partial_m J_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 . \quad (3.70)$$

Earlier in subsection IIIB we have derived the time-evolution equation for the relevant variables (3.16) by using projection operators. This equation has three terms on the right-hand side, a reversible, a dissipative, and a fluctuating term. In each of these terms we find the expression $\mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})$ which can be converted into the divergence of a current density by (3.68). In this way the time-evolution equation for the relevant variables can be written in the form of the continuity equation (3.70) together with the current densities

$$\begin{aligned} J_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &+ \int_{t_0}^t dt' \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t') i \mathbf{L} Q(t') U(t', t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\} \\ &+ \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t_0) Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

which as well have three contributions, a reversible, a dissipative, and a fluctuating contribution.

Since the time-evolution equation in the GENERIC form (3.35) is an equivalent equation, it may be written also in the form of the continuity equation (3.70). For the first term on the right-hand side this fact will be proven

explicitly later in subsection IV C. In order to do this for a normal fluid we calculate the Poisson brackets for the relevant variables and furthermore the Poisson matrix $L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ explicitly. For the second term we must investigate the memory matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')$ in more detail. In Eq. (3.32) the memory matrix is defined in terms of the Mori scalar product. Here we find the expression $\mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})$ even two times and replace it by the corresponding divergences of current densities according to (3.68). Thus, we obtain

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') = \partial_m \partial'_n N_{im, kn}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \quad (3.72)$$

together with two spatial differential operators $\partial_m = \partial/\partial r_m$, $\partial'_n = \partial/\partial r'_n$, and the new memory matrix in terms of the current densities

$$\begin{aligned} N_{im, kn}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') &= \\ &= k_B^{-1} (Q(t') b_{kn}(\mathbf{r}')) |U(t', t) Q(t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\rangle_t . \end{aligned} \quad (3.73)$$

Now, inserting the memory matrix (3.72) into the time-evolution equation in GENERIC form (3.35) we find that also the second term can be written in terms of a divergence of a current density. Later in subsection IV D we shall use the memory matrix (3.72) as a starting point for our approximations.

Finally, we consider the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which are defined in (3.20) or equivalently in (3.61). These forces represent the third term in the time-evolution equation (3.35). Once again we find the expression $\mathbf{L} a_i(\mathbf{r})$ and replace it by the divergence of the current densities according to (3.68). Then, we obtain the fluctuating forces in the form

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_m g_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (3.74)$$

together with the fluctuating current densities

$$g_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\varrho(t_0) Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) Q(t) b_{im}(\mathbf{r})\} . \quad (3.75)$$

Later in subsection IV E we shall use this representation of the fluctuating forces in terms of divergences of fluctuating current densities.

G. General time-evolution equations for the entropy, the energy, and the conserved quantities

From the time-evolution equation for the expectation values of the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we can derive related time-evolution equations for the entropy $S[x(t)]$, the energy $E[x(t)]$ and the further conserved quantities like momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and particle number $N[x(t)]$. We start with the entropy and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} S[x(t)] &= \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \frac{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \\
&= \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\
&\quad + \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta S[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} \\
&\quad + \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.76}$$

For the second equality sign we have used the time-evolution equations in the GENERIC-form (3.35). The constraint for the entropy (3.43) in the adjoint form implies that the first term which represents the direct couplings to the relevant variables is zero and drops out. Thus, the entropy equation simplifies into

$$\frac{d}{dt} S[x(t)] = \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta S[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} + \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) . \tag{3.77}$$

The quadratic term with the memory matrix is an indication for the second law of thermodynamics. This second law means that the entropy should always increase with time or at least remain constant. If the memory matrix (3.32) is symmetric and positive definite, then this term is always positive. However, since until now we have not performed any approximation the invariance under time inversion which results from the underlying microscopic theory is not broken. Thus, in the present case the second law of thermodynamics can not be true.

We continue with the energy and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} E[x(t)] &= \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \frac{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial t} \\
&= \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\
&\quad + \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta S[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} \\
&\quad + \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.78}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is zero because the Poisson matrix is antisymmetric according to Eq. (3.40). The second term is zero because of the constraint for the energy (3.46) in the adjoint form. The third term is zero because of the constraint (3.62). Hence, all terms on the right-hand side are zero. Consequently, the energy $E[x(t)]$ is constant with time as it should be for a conserved quantity.

For the further conserved quantities momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and particle number $N[x(t)]$ the constraints imply similar results. An exception is the first term in the equation for the momentum. From the constraint (3.55) it is not immediately clear that this term is zero. We find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P}[x(t)] = \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = \sum_i \int d^d r (\nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \mathbf{0} . \tag{3.79}$$

Nevertheless, this term is zero because the energy is symmetric under space translations. This argument can be generalized to any conserved quantity which is related to some symmetry property. It can be shown using symmetry arguments that the first term is always zero even if the related constraint has a nonzero right-hand side. In summary we obtain the time-evolution equations for the energy, the momentum, and the particle number given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} E[x(t)] = 0 , \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{P}[x(t)] = \mathbf{0} , \quad \frac{d}{dt} N[x(t)] = 0 , \tag{3.80}$$

as expected for conserved quantities.

H. Thermal equilibrium

The time-evolution equations for the relevant variables (3.35) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = & -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) + \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta \Omega[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ & - \frac{1}{T} \sum_k \int d^d r' \int_{t_0}^t dt' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \frac{\delta \Omega[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} \\ & + f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

together with the grand canonical thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega[x(t)] = E[x(t)] - T S[x(t)] - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P}[x(t)] - \mu N[x(t)] . \quad (3.82)$$

The equivalence between Eq. (3.81) and the GENERIC form (3.35) results from the constraints. The first term with the velocity $\mathbf{v}$ separates because the right-hand side of the constraint (3.55) is not zero.

In thermal equilibrium the entropy $S[x(t)]$ is maximum under the constraints that the energy $E[x(t)]$, the momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$, and the particle number $N[x(t)]$ have constant values. If we interpret the temperature T , the velocity $\mathbf{v}$, and the chemical potential μ as the appropriate Lagrange parameters we find the necessary condition for the grand canonical thermodynamic potential

$$\frac{\delta \Omega[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 . \quad (3.83)$$

Hence, in Eq. (3.81) the two middle terms are zero. If for the initial density matrix $\varrho(t_0)$ at the initial time t_0 we use the relevant density matrix $\varrho(t_0) = \tilde{\varrho}(t_0)$ defined in Eq. (2.26) then the fluctuating forces are zero, too. Thus, there remains the first term only so that the time-evolution equations reduce to

$$\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (3.84)$$

The general solution of this equation reads

$$x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \xi_i(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}t) \quad (3.85)$$

where until now $\xi_i(\mathbf{r})$ is an arbitrary function. However, this function will be determined by the necessary condition (3.83) for the maximum of the entropy under the constraints. In the simplest case $\xi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \xi_i$ is a constant. In this case the physical system is spatially homogeneous as it is expected for a normal fluid in thermal equilibrium.

Nevertheless, if there are yet some inhomogeneities present in the fluid then the solution (3.85) shows that these move in space with a constant velocity $\mathbf{v}$. In this way we come closer to the deeper meaning of the right-hand sides of the constraints like (3.55). The conserved quantities are related to symmetry transformations and generate those via the commutators (3.54). As a consequence, the solution moves along the paths of the symmetry transformations with a constant velocity.

I. Poisson brackets

Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18] have proposed an elegant way how to derive the reversible contributions of the hydrodynamic equations by using Poisson brackets. In this subsection we show that in the GENERIC formalism the first term on the right-hand side of the time-evolution equation (3.35) has exactly the form of these contributions. For any two functionals $F[x(t)]$ and $G[x(t)]$ we can define a Poisson bracket by the formula

$$\{F[x(t)], G[x(t)]\} = \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta F[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta G[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \quad (3.86)$$

where $L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ is the antisymmetric Poisson matrix defined in Eq. (3.39). If in this formula we insert

$F[x(t)] = x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $G[x(t)] = E[x(t)]$ then we obtain

$$\{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), E[x(t)]\} = \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \quad (3.87)$$

which exactly is the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3.35). In this way the reversible part of the hydrodynamic equations can be written in the form

$$\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), E[x(t)]\} + \dots \quad (3.88)$$

This result agrees exactly with the formulation of the reversible terms in the approach of Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18].

The constraints which were written in terms of the Poisson matrix (3.39) can be represented also in terms of the Poisson brackets. If we insert $G[x(t)] = S[x(t)]$ then from Eq. (3.42) we obtain the constraint for the entropy

$$\{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), S[x(t)]\} = 0 \quad (3.89)$$

Furthermore, if we insert $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and $N[x(t)]$ then from Eqs. (3.55) and (3.56) we obtain the constraints for the conserved quantities

$$\{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \mathbf{P}[x(t)]\} = -\nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (3.90)$$

$$\{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), N[x(t)]\} = 0 \quad (3.91)$$

These Poisson brackets are related to the quantum mechanical commutators (3.54). Consequently, the right-hand sides are not zero in general since the conserved quantities are related to symmetry transformations and generate those in the sense of Lie groups.

Now, if we insert the energy so that $F[x(t)] = E[x(t)]$ then we obtain the Poisson brackets

$$\{E[x(t)], S[x(t)]\} = 0 \quad (3.92)$$

$$\{E[x(t)], \mathbf{P}[x(t)]\} = \mathbf{0} \quad (3.93)$$

$$\{E[x(t)], N[x(t)]\} = 0 \quad (3.94)$$

In this case the right-hand sides are always zero because the energy $E[x(t)]$ is symmetric under the transformations. For Eq. (3.93) we can prove this explicitly in analogy to Eq. (3.79). Alternatively, the related quantum commutators imply directly that the right-hand sides of Eqs. (3.93) and (3.94) must be zero because $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and $N[x(t)]$ are conserved quantities. The grand canonical thermodynamic potential $\Omega[x(t)]$ defined in (3.82) is represented as a linear combination of the energy $E[x(t)]$, the entropy $S[x(t)]$, and the conserved quantities $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and $N[x(t)]$. Consequently, it is

$$\{E[x(t)], \Omega[x(t)]\} = 0 \quad (3.95)$$

This latter equation will be used later in section VI.

We obtain the fundamental Poisson brackets if we insert $F[x(t)] = x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $G[x(t)] = x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)$ into the general Poisson bracket (3.86). If furthermore we use the definition of the Poisson matrix (3.39) then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) &= \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)\} \\ &= (i\hbar)^{-1} \text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) [a_i(\mathbf{r}), a_k(\mathbf{r}')] \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.96)$$

The fundamental Poisson brackets of the relevant expectation values can be calculated from the expectation values of the quantum commutators of the relevant variables, where the factor $(i\hbar)^{-1}$ is added according to the

quantum theory. However, we note that a Jacobi identity does not exist generally for the Poisson brackets of the relevant expectation values. For, the Jacobi identity with commutators does not generally transfer to a Jacobi identity with the related Poisson brackets because in (3.96) there is taken an expectation value with the trace and the relevant density matrix.

IV. HYDRODYNAMICS

Until now no approximations have been made at all. This means that all equations in section III are exact. There has only been defined the relevant density matrix $\tilde{\varrho}(t)$ which maximizes the entropy under certain constraints. This density matrix can be interpreted as an approximation of the exact density matrix $\varrho(t)$. However, we do not use the relevant density matrix as an approximation. Rather we use it for the definition of the entropy (2.21) and for the definition of the two projection operators (2.44) and (2.50) which provide the separation of the physical variables into relevant and irrelevant ones. The exact results that we have obtained are the time-evolution equation for the expectation values of the relevant variables (3.35) together with some constraints. The separation of the variables yields three terms on the right-hand side: the first term for the direct couplings of the relevant variables, the second term with nonlocal and memory effects for the indirect coupling via the irrelevant variables, and the third term for the remaining fluctuating forces of the irrelevant variables. In the following we show that by applying a few assumptions and approximations from the exact time-evolution equations (3.35) the macroscopic hydrodynamic equations for a normal fluid can be derived.

A. Hydrodynamic approximation

In hydrodynamics one investigates the effects and properties of normal fluids which happen on large length scales and large time scales. The microscopic structure of the fluid in detail does not have an influence on the macroscopic properties. It does not matter which atoms or which molecules form the fluid, how they move and how they interact. Consequently, the variables of the theory, the expectation values $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the Lagrange parameters $\lambda_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$, change only slowly with space $\mathbf{r}$ and slowly with time t . Gradients of these variables are neglected. Hence, we approximately assume that the fluid is locally in a thermal equilibrium [32]. This means that the relevant density matrix (2.26) does not differ that much from the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (2.30) at least locally.

By Eq. (3.27) we have shown that in thermal equilibrium the Liouville operator $\mathbf{L}$ is self adjoint or hermitian because the Boltzmann distribution function commutes with the Hamilton operator according to $[\tilde{\varrho}_{\text{eq}}, H] = 0$.

In hydrodynamics the fluid is in a non-equilibrium state. However, this state is locally not far from the equilibrium. Thus, in this context one talks about a local thermal equilibrium. Consequently, we can assume *approximately* that the relevant density matrix commutes with the Hamilton operator according to $[\tilde{\rho}(t), H] \approx 0$. Then Eq. (3.27) implies that the Liouville operator $\mathbb{L}$ is *approximately* self adjoint or hermitian so that

$$(Y_1|LY_2)_t \approx (LY_1|Y_2)_t \quad \text{because of} \quad [\tilde{\rho}(t), H] \approx 0. \quad (4.1)$$

As a consequence the frequency matrix (3.28) and the memory matrix (3.32) defined in terms of the Mori scalar product can be written in a symmetric form *approximately* also for the nonequilibrium, like the formulas (3.33) and (3.34) for the thermal equilibrium. Thus, approximately we obtain the frequency matrix

$$\Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \approx -i k_B^{-1} (a_k(\mathbf{r}')) | \mathbb{L} | a_i(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t \quad (4.2)$$

and the memory matrix

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \approx k_B^{-1} (Q(t') | \mathbb{L} a_k(\mathbf{r}') | U(t', t) | Q(t) | \mathbb{L} a_i(\mathbf{r}) \rangle_t. \quad (4.3)$$

Here again we write the Mori scalar product with an operator in between two vertical bars in the middle. In this way we emphasize that the operator is self adjoint so that it can be moved either into the front part or into the back part of the Mori scalar product. Consequently, the frequency matrix is approximately antisymmetric

$$\Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \approx -\Omega_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; t), \quad (4.4)$$

while the memory matrix is approximately symmetric

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \approx M_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', t'; \mathbf{r}, t). \quad (4.5)$$

Furthermore, in the GENERIC formalism we have the Poisson matrix $L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ defined in (3.39). However, because of (3.40) this matrix is antisymmetric already in the non-equilibrium state. Thus, we need not perform an approximation there.

For the success of the hydrodynamic approximation it is important to make the right selection for the relevant variables. Here one must achieve a separation of the space and time scales. This means, the selection must cover all spatially and timely slow variables so that the remaining irrelevant variables vary fast in space and time. For the time-evolution equation (3.35) this fact implies that in the second term the nonlocal effects and the memory effects become negligible and in the third term the fluctuating forces vary nearly randomly on short space and time scales.

The constant variables of a normal fluid are the conserved quantities energy $E[x(t)]$, momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$, and particle number $N[x(t)]$. In Eqs. (3.36), (3.49), and (3.50) the related operators are represented as linear combinations of the relevant variables $a_i(\mathbf{r})$. Consequently,

the densities of these conserved quantities are suited for the selection of the slow relevant variables. Thus, we choose the mass density, the momentum density, and the energy density defined by the linear combinations

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i m n_i a_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \mathbf{p}_i a_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4.8)$$

where n_i , $\mathbf{p}_i$, and ε_i are the related coefficients. In hydrodynamics the particle density $n(\mathbf{r})$ is usually replaced in favor of the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$. The difference is a factor m for the mass of each single particle. Since the momentum density has three spatial components, we note that the relevant variables of a normal fluid are composed of exactly $1 + 3 + 1 = 5$ different densities. Since the linear mapping in (4.6)-(4.8) must be uniquely invertible we find that the index $i = 1, \dots, 5$ has exactly five values.

We omit the memory effects if we rewrite the memory matrix in terms of a delta function in time according to

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \approx 2 M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \delta(t - t'). \quad (4.9)$$

The factor 2 is needed since in the memory terms of the time-evolution equations the time integration extends only over the half interval of the peak of the delta function. The matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ defined on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.9) is called *Onsager matrix*. Eq. (4.5) implies that this matrix is symmetric. On the other hand, the *Poisson matrix* $L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ which is defined in Eq. (3.39) is antisymmetric. Hence, there are two symmetry conditions

$$L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = -L_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; t), \quad (4.10)$$

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = +M_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; t). \quad (4.11)$$

We insert the memory matrix (4.9) into the time-evolution equation of the GENERIC formalism (3.35) and perform the integration over the time. As a result, the equation simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = & \sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} \\ & + \sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta S[x(t')]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t')} \\ & + f_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly the entropy equation (3.77) simplifies so that we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} S[x(t)] = \sum_i \int d^d r \sum_k \int d^d r' \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} + \sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (4.13)$$

The two basic constraints of the GENERIC formalism simplify to

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 , \quad (4.14)$$

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 . \quad (4.15)$$

The further constraints for the conserved quantities momentum $\mathbf{P}[x(t)]$ and particle number $N[x(t)]$ simplify likewise. For the momentum we obtain

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = -\nabla x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.16)$$

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta \mathbf{P}[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = \mathbf{0} , \quad (4.17)$$

and for the particle number

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' L_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 , \quad (4.18)$$

$$\sum_k \int d^d r' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \frac{\delta N[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}', t)} = 0 . \quad (4.19)$$

Related constraints are valid also for the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

The equations (4.12)-(4.19) together with the symmetry conditions (4.10) and (4.11) were originally obtained by Grmela and Öttinger [5–7] and derived from the microscopic theories of classical liquids and quantum liquids. The whole set of these equations defines the GENERIC formalism. However, in their original form these equations do not include memory effects. On the other hand, our equations in section III were derived without any approximations and thus contain all memory effects. Consequently, our time-evolution equations and constraints in section III represent an extension of the GENERIC formalism which includes the memory effects.

The Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ is usually *positive semi definite*. This means that the eigenvalues are either positive or zero. Thus, in the entropy equation (4.13) the first quadratic term yields a positive or zero contribution. If we neglect the fluctuations by the second term, then the entropy would grow monotonically so that the second law of thermodynamics is true and time-inversion invariance is broken. However, in section V we shall argue that the second fluctuating term may yield also negative contributions so that the time-inversion invariance is restored.

B. Reactive contributions

Originally and generally in the exact theory the memory matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')$ has two kinds of contributions, *reactive* and *dissipative*. The first type of contributions is reversible in time. However, when performing the approximation and neglecting memory effects via the formula (4.9) the reactive term drops out and only the dissipative term is kept. As a result, the second term in the GENERIC time-evolution equation (4.12) is purely dissipative because the Onsager Matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ is symmetric according to (4.11) and positive definite. Nevertheless, in some more complex fluids the reactive term is present and plays an essential role for the long time and long wave length dynamics. For the first time reactive terms have been derived and investigated by Forster in nematic liquid crystals [14, 33, 34].

We may include reactive terms in our theory if for the memory matrix we use the approximation formula

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \approx 2 K_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \varepsilon(t - t') \delta(t - t') + 2 M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) \delta(t - t') . \quad (4.20)$$

Here, the first term is new and describes the reactive contribution. The sign function $\varepsilon(t - t')$ defined by $\varepsilon(t - t') = \pm 1$ for $t - t' \gtrless 0$ causes that the first term has different signs for $t > t'$ and $t < t'$. The strength of the reactive term is described by the *reactive matrix* $K_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$. In order to satisfy the symmetry condition of the memory matrix (4.5) we require the reactive matrix to be antisymmetric according to

$$K_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = -K_{ki}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}; t) . \quad (4.21)$$

On the other hand, in (4.20) the second term describes the dissipative contribution and has the same properties as before. Now, if we insert the memory matrix (4.20) into the time-evolution equation of the GENERIC formalism (3.35) and perform the integration over the time we obtain an equation similar to (4.12) where, however, in the second term the Onsager Matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ is replaced by the sum of the matrices $K_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) + M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$. In this way, the time-evolution equation of the standard GENERIC formalism [5, 6] is extended by an additional reactive term.

Later in subsection IVE we show that in the time-evolution equation (4.12) the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are stochastic and Gaussian where their correlation function is described by the memory matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')$. If we use the simple approximation formula (4.9) it turns out that the GENERIC time-evolution equation (4.12) can be identified as a Langevin equation of a stochastic

theory. This will be shown in section VI. However, if we use the more complex approximation formula (4.20) it appears that the reactive term with the sign function $\varepsilon(t - t')$ is *not compatible* with the standard stochastic theory. For this reason, in this paper we consider only normal simple fluids which are described by the approximate memory matrix (4.9) where the reactive term is zero and the dissipative term is taken into account only. Nevertheless, in future research it would be interesting to extend the GENERIC formalism to include nonzero reactive terms in order to describe also more complex fluids like the nematic liquid crystals which have been considered by Forster [14, 33, 34].

C. Hydrodynamic equations for an ideal normal fluid without dissipation

Once we have derived the hydrodynamic equations in the general form (4.12), we now want to find their special form for a normal fluid. We have already selected the relevant variables $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$ which are defined in (4.6)-(4.8). In this subsection we temporarily omit the effects of dissipation and fluctuation. Thus, we consider only the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.12). In the following we calculate the fundamental Poisson brackets and the related Poisson matrix (3.96). To do this we must first calculate the commutators of the relevant variables and then take the expectation values. For a first simple calculation it is useful to consider a many-particle system with no interactions. Later we add interactions by a local field like the electromagnetic field and show that the results do not change. Thus, we describe the particles by quantum operators for the position $\hat{\mathbf{r}}_a$ and momentum $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_a$ where the index $a = 1, \dots, N$ enumerates the particles. The basic quantum commutators of these operators are given by

$$[\hat{r}_{a,i}, \hat{r}_{b,k}] = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

$$[\hat{r}_{a,i}, \hat{p}_{b,k}] = i\hbar \delta_{ab} \delta_{ik} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.23)$$

$$[\hat{p}_{a,i}, \hat{p}_{b,k}] = 0 \quad (4.24)$$

where the first indices a and b enumerate the particles and the second indices i and k specify the space directions x, y , or z . Now, we write the relevant variables, the mass density, the momentum density, and the energy density in the forms

$$\hat{\rho}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_a m_a \delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_a), \quad (4.25)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_a \hat{\mathbf{p}}_a \delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_a), \quad (4.26)$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_a \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_a)^2}{2m_a} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_a), \quad (4.27)$$

respectively. Furthermore, we need the stress tensor $\Pi_{ik}(\mathbf{r})$ and the energy current density $\mathbf{j}_E(\mathbf{r})$ which are defined by

$$\hat{\Pi}_{ik}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_a \frac{\hat{p}_{a,i} \hat{p}_{a,k}}{m_a} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_a), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{j}}_E(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_a \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_a)^2}{2m_a} \frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_a}{m_a} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \hat{\mathbf{r}}_a). \quad (4.29)$$

Now, we calculate the commutators of the relevant variables (4.25)-(4.27) by using the fundamental commutators (4.22)-(4.24). Here it does not matter if the masses of the particles m_a are all equal or all different. The results are always the same. Then, we calculate the expectation values according to (3.96), multiply by a factor $(i\hbar)^{-1}$ and then obtain the fundamental Poisson brackets

$$\{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), \rho(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = 0, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t), \rho(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.31)$$

$$\{j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), j_k(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -[j_k(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_i + \partial_k j_i(\mathbf{r}, t)] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.32)$$

$$\{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.33)$$

$$\{j_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -[\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t) \partial_i + \partial_k \Pi_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t)] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'), \quad (4.34)$$

$$\{\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t), \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -[\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_E(\mathbf{r}, t) + \mathbf{j}_E(\mathbf{r}, t) \cdot \nabla] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (4.35)$$

The partial derivatives and the nabla operators on the right-hand sides act on all functions written to the right of them. These operators derive with respect to the un-

primed space variable $\mathbf{r}$. While in Eqs. (4.22)-(4.29) the physical variables are quantum operators indicated by hats on top of them, in the Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.35)

all the physical variables are expectation values. Nevertheless, since the right-hand sides of the Poisson brackets are all linear in the physical variables, they also hold for the operators (4.25)-(4.29) in quantum theory or for the related microscopic variables in classical theory without change.

While we have derived the Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.35) for a non-interacting many-particle system, they are very robust and do not change if we consider more complex interacting theories. In order to include the effects of the electromagnetic field, we add the standard expression of the electromagnetic energy density to the many-particle energy density (4.27). Similarly, we add the electromagnetic energy current density, i.e. the Poynting vector, to the many-particle energy current density (4.29). Furthermore, the Poynting vector divided by c^2 is added to the momentum density (4.26), and the Maxwell stress tensor is added to the momentum current density (4.28). Then, the Poisson brackets are evaluated using additional commutators for the electric and the magnetic fields which are well known from quantum electrodynamics. As a result we obtain the same Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.35) without any change.

In a further step we can assign charges e_a and magnetic moments μ_a to the particles in order to add interactions between the particles and the electromagnetic field. Accordingly we add related interaction terms to the densities and current densities (4.25)-(4.29). These further contributions do not change the Poisson brackets either. In this way we can describe the matter by a quite fundamental microscopic model where the particles are identified by the atomic nuclei and by the surrounding electrons which all interact with the electromagnetic field.

The microscopic model for the particles and their interactions can be extended to a relativistic quantum-field theory based on Klein-Gordon and Dirac fields. The interactions can be generalized from the electromagnetic field to non-abelian gauge fields for the electroweak and the strong interaction. In this way it is possible to prove the Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.35) even for the most fundamental theory of matter which is the standard model of elementary particle physics [35].

We conclude that the Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.35) are very general and should be valid for all microscopic models of the matter which form our normal fluid. They are valid for relativistic and non-relativistic models, for classical theories and quantum theories. However, we note that the calculations to prove the Poisson brackets for any specific microscopic model are usually quite complicated and need a lot of work.

The Poisson brackets have been used originally by Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18] in order to derive the reversible terms of the hydrodynamic equations. These authors use symmetry arguments in order to obtain the Poisson brackets. However, instead of the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$ they rather use the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Nevertheless their Poisson brackets agree with our results

which we show below.

Alternatively, the hydrodynamic equations can be formulated in terms of a variational principle with a Lagrange function and phenomenological variables. A related Hamilton formalism can be derived which provides the Poisson brackets of the hydrodynamic variables. In this way, Enz and Turski [36] obtained the first three Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.32) for the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Van Saarloos *et al.* [37] additionally found the latter three Poisson brackets (4.33)-(4.35) involving the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Hence, the Poisson brackets for the hydrodynamic variables are well known since a long time. There is a general agreement about how they should look like.

Now we proceed with our calculations. The expectation values of the operators (4.26)-(4.29) can be evaluated explicitly for a normal liquid in the classical limit. We obtain

$$\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.36)$$

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t) = u(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)^2}{2\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)} , \quad (4.37)$$

$$\Pi_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t) = p(\mathbf{r}, t) \delta_{ik} + \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) v_i(\mathbf{r}, t) v_k(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.38)$$

$$\mathbf{j}_E(\mathbf{r}, t) = [\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t) + p(\mathbf{r}, t)] \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (4.39)$$

Here $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the *internal energy density* which is well known from thermodynamics. Furthermore, $p(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the pressure, and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the velocity field. The specific form of these physical quantities in terms of the velocity field represents the *Galilean invariance* of a normal fluid.

According to the formula (4.37) the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$ splits into two contributions, the internal energy density $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the kinetic part $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)^2/2\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Inserting this formula into Eqs. (4.33)-(4.35) the related Poisson brackets can be derived for the internal energy density $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Thus, we obtain

$$\{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), u(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = 0 , \quad (4.40)$$

$$\{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t), u(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -[u(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla + \nabla p(\mathbf{r}, t)] \times \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') , \quad (4.41)$$

$$\{u(\mathbf{r}, t), u(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = 0 . \quad (4.42)$$

Clearly, the new Poisson brackets for the internal energy density $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ have a much simpler structure than those for the total energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$ since they may not depend on the velocity field $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

Following the definition (2.18) or (2.21) the entropy $S(t)$ is a *global* quantity. However, in hydrodynamics there is assumed a thermal equilibrium on the local level. Hence, the entropy should appear also *locally* in terms of an entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ so that the global entropy is the integral $S(t) = \int d^d r \sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$. There will exist a local differential equation for the entropy density which is similar to a continuity equation, however, with some extension. On the right-hand side there will be a source term which includes the contributions from dissipation and fluctuations similar like the terms on the right-hand

side of the global entropy equation (4.13). Thus, in order to obtain the reversible contributions of the entropy equation we need the Poisson brackets for the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which we will derive now.

From thermodynamics it is known that the internal energy density $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ depends on the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and on the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. There are the local functional relation

$$u(\mathbf{r}, t) = u(\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t), \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)) \quad (4.43)$$

and the local thermodynamic relation

$$du(\mathbf{r}, t) = T(\mathbf{r}, t) d\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) + m^{-1} \mu(\mathbf{r}, t) d\rho(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (4.44)$$

where $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the temperature and $\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the chemical potential. From the latter equation we derive a relation for the Poisson brackets which reads

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), u(\mathbf{r}', t)\} &= T(\mathbf{r}', t) \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \sigma(\mathbf{r}', t)\} \\ &\quad + m^{-1} \mu(\mathbf{r}', t) \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), \rho(\mathbf{r}', t)\} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

For the variable $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we can insert any of our relevant variables. Consequently, we find that the elementary Poisson-brackets involving the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are defined by

$$\{\rho(\mathbf{r}, t), \sigma(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = 0 , \quad (4.46)$$

$$\{\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t), \sigma(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = -\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) \nabla \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') , \quad (4.47)$$

$$\{\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t), \sigma(\mathbf{r}', t)\} = 0 . \quad (4.48)$$

By using Eq. (4.45) we show that these Poisson brackets for the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are compatible with those for the internal energy density $u(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

Now, the Poisson brackets for all the different variables are complete. We note that they agree with those of Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18], especially those with the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Therefore, the reversible contributions of the hydrodynamic equations (3.88) can be written in the form

$$\partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), E[\sigma(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]\} . \quad (4.49)$$

Since we have found all the needed elementary Poisson brackets, we can use the total energy $E[\sigma(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]$ as a functional of the natural variables entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$, momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. The related functional derivatives are given by

$$\frac{\delta E[\sigma(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)} = T(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.50)$$

$$\frac{\delta E[\sigma(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.51)$$

$$\frac{\delta E[\sigma(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)} = m^{-1} \mu(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (4.52)$$

Now, if in Eq. (4.49) for $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we insert all our relevant variables, then we obtain the hydrodynamic equations

$$\partial_t \rho(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.53)$$

$$\partial_t j_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_k \Pi_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t) , \quad (4.54)$$

$$\partial_t \sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}, t) + \frac{R(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)} , \quad (4.55)$$

$$\partial_t \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_E(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (4.56)$$

The first three equations are obtained by using the Poisson brackets (4.30)-(4.32) and (4.46)-(4.48) together with the functional derivatives (4.50)-(4.52). The fourth equation for the energy density follows directly from the Poisson bracket (4.35). The current densities on the right-hand sides are defined by the formulas (4.36), (4.38) and (4.39). Additionally, we find the entropy current density

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sigma(\mathbf{r}, t) \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) . \quad (4.57)$$

The hydrodynamic equations (4.53)-(4.56) were derived here for an ideal fluid. However, in this form they are valid also if the effects of dissipation and fluctuations are added. The required changes occur in terms of additional contributions in the current densities, as we shall see in the following subsections.

For later considerations we have added a *source term* on the right-hand side of the entropy equation (4.55). However, in the present case for an ideal fluid with no dissipation it is zero so that

$$R(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0 . \quad (4.58)$$

For our normal fluid the hydrodynamic equations (4.53)-(4.56) have the form which is well known from elementary text books [4]. However, until now they include only the reversible terms. The effects of dissipation and fluctuations are not taken into account until now.

D. Hydrodynamic equations for a normal fluid with dissipation

Now, we add the terms of dissipation but still omit the fluctuations. The effects of dissipation are contained in the second term of the hydrodynamic equations in GENERIC form (4.12). Their strength is parametrized by the Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$. In hydrodynamics there are considered phenomena only on large length scales. Therefore, we approximate the spatial structure by a delta function. The relevant variables which we consider are all densities of conserved quantities. Consequently, we add two spatial differential operators. Thus, for the Onsager matrix we make the ansatz

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = -\partial_m N_{im, kn}(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') . \quad (4.59)$$

We motivate the two spatial differential operators by the memory matrix in the form (3.72) together with (3.73)

which we have derived above generally without any approximations. The ansatz is *local*. Consequently, the matrix $N_{im,kn} = N_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r}, t))$ depends locally on the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Now, inserting this ansatz into Eq. (4.12) we obtain the hydrodynamic equations in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), E[x(t)]\} \\ &\quad - \sum_{kn} \partial_m N_{im,kn} \partial_n \frac{\delta S[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\ &\quad + f_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.60)$$

Next, we need the functional derivatives of the entropy $S[x(t)]$. As known from thermodynamics the natural variables of the entropy are the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Thus, we identify

$$S[x(t)] = S[\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)] \quad (4.61)$$

and obtain the functional derivatives

$$\frac{\delta S[\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (4.62)$$

$$\frac{\delta S[\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (4.63)$$

$$\frac{\delta S[\varepsilon(t), \mathbf{j}(t), \rho(t)]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r}, t)} = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)}. \quad (4.64)$$

In Eq. (4.60) the functional derivatives of the entropy occur only in terms of gradients. For this reason, we can rewrite them in terms of functional derivatives of the energy according to

$$\partial_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta \varepsilon} = \partial_n \frac{1}{T} = -\frac{1}{T^2} \partial_n T = -\frac{1}{T^2} \partial_n \frac{\delta E}{\delta \sigma}, \quad (4.65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta j_i} &= -\partial_n \frac{v_i}{T} = -\frac{1}{T} \partial_n v_i + \frac{v_i}{T^2} \partial_n T \\ &= -\frac{1}{T} \partial_n \frac{\delta E}{\delta j_i} + \frac{v_i}{T^2} \partial_n \frac{\delta E}{\delta \sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.66)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n \frac{\delta S}{\delta \rho} &= -\partial_n \frac{\mu}{mT} = -\frac{1}{mT} \partial_n \mu + \frac{\mu}{mT^2} \partial_n T \\ &= -\frac{1}{T} \partial_n \frac{\delta E}{\delta \rho} + \frac{\mu}{mT^2} \partial_n \frac{\delta E}{\delta \sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

As a consequence, the hydrodynamic equations (4.60) can be rewritten, too. Thus, with a new Matrix $\Lambda_{im,kn}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \{x_i(\mathbf{r}, t), E[x(t)]\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{kn} \partial_m \Lambda_{im,kn} \partial_n \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}, t)} \\ &\quad + \delta_{i\sigma} \frac{R(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)} + f_i(\mathbf{r}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

Here the relevant variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are identified by the natural variables of the energy $E[x(t)]$. These are the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and

the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Since the entropy equation is included we have added a term for the entropy production rate in the third line. This term is obtained from the quadratic term of the entropy equation (4.13). We find

$$R(\mathbf{r}, t) = \sum_{im,kn} \left(\partial_m \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right) \Lambda_{im,kn} \left(\partial_n \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right). \quad (4.69)$$

Since $R(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is an energy density per time unit, it can be identified by the heat which is produced by friction inside the fluid. We note that the hydrodynamic equations (4.68) together with the formula for the produced heat (4.69) agree with the general hydrodynamic equations of Dzyaloshinskii and Volovik [18].

In the above equations (4.68) and (4.69) the specific properties of the fluid related to effects of dissipation and attenuation are represented by the matrix elements $\Lambda_{im,kn}$. In principle this matrix can be calculated from the microscopic theory of the fluid. To do this we start with the memory matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')$ defined by the formula (4.3). In a first step we determine the Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ by neglecting memory effects via the ansatz (4.9) or via the inverse formula

$$M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t'). \quad (4.70)$$

In a second step we calculate the matrix $N_{im,kn}$ by neglecting the nonlocal effects via the formula (4.59) or via the related inverse formula. Finally, in the relevant variables we replace the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$ by the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ so that eventually we obtain the matrix $\Lambda_{im,kn}$.

While in theory this calculation is possible, in practice it is much too complicated and for this reason not feasible. Hence, in practice another way is followed. The symmetry of the physical system is considered in order to find arguments which strongly reduce the number of independent matrix elements $\Lambda_{im,kn}$ so that only very few parameters remain. Eventually, these parameters are determined by comparing the theoretical results with experiments. In this way, hydrodynamics becomes a phenomenological theory.

For the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the continuity equation (4.53) is an exact equation already on the microscopic level since it can be proven easily for the quantum operator expressions (4.25) and (4.26). Hence, the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is not affected by dissipative effects. There remain the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$. We assume that the microscopic theory of the fluid is symmetric with respect to space inversions. Applying this transformation the momentum density changes its sign where the entropy density does not. Consequently, in the Onsager matrix there is no coupling between the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Thus, the matrix $\Lambda_{im,kn}$ is mainly diagonal with respect to the particular relevant variables.

In the general hydrodynamic equations (4.68) the second term obviously is a divergence of current densities. Thus, we obtain the dissipative contributions of the general current densities

$$\Delta j_{im}(\mathbf{r}, t) = - \sum_{kn} \Lambda_{im, kn} \partial_n \frac{\delta E[x(t)]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r}, t)}. \quad (4.71)$$

As a consequence, the effects of dissipation do not change the general structure of the hydrodynamic equations (4.53)-(4.56). An exception is the entropy equation. Here an extra term occurs due to the production of heat and entropy which is represented by a nonzero function $R(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Since the matrix $\Lambda_{im, kn}$ has a particular block diagonal structure we find the current densities

$$\Delta j_k = 0, \quad (4.72)$$

$$\Delta \Pi_{ik} = - \Lambda_{ik, mn}^{jj} \partial_n v_m, \quad (4.73)$$

$$\Delta q_k = - \Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} \partial_n T, \quad (4.74)$$

$$\Delta j_{E,k} = T \Delta q_k + v_i \Delta \Pi_{ik} + m^{-1} \mu \Delta j_k \quad (4.75)$$

and the produced heat

$$R = (\partial_k v_i) \Lambda_{ik, mn}^{jj} (\partial_n v_m) + (\partial_k T) \Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} (\partial_n T). \quad (4.76)$$

For a simpler notation, from now on we omit the arguments $\mathbf{r}$ and t . Furthermore, we apply the sum convention which means that in each contribution we sum over any two equal indices automatically.

A normal fluid is isotropic. This means that it is invariant under rotations and space inversions. Consequently, the matrices for the dissipation effects are reduced further. We obtain

$$\Lambda_{ik, mn}^{jj} = \eta \left(\delta_{im} \delta_{kn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{km} - \frac{2}{d} \delta_{ik} \delta_{mn} \right) + \zeta \delta_{ik} \delta_{mn}, \quad (4.77)$$

$$\Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} = \frac{\varkappa}{T} \delta_{kn}. \quad (4.78)$$

As a result, the complete matrix $\Lambda_{im, kn}$ can be expressed in terms of only three independent parameters, the *shear viscosity* η , the *volume viscosity*, ζ , and the *heat conductivity* $\varkappa$. These three parameters completely describe the dissipative effects in a normal fluid. Usually, they are determined phenomenologically by comparisons with experiments.

Now, we insert the matrices (4.77) and (4.78). Then, we find the extra contribution for the stress tensor

$$\Delta \Pi_{ik} = -\eta \left(\partial_i v_k + \partial_k v_i - \frac{2}{d} \delta_{ik} \partial_n v_n \right) - \zeta \delta_{ik} \partial_n v_n \quad (4.79)$$

and for the entropy current density

$$\Delta q_k = -\frac{\varkappa}{T} \partial_k T. \quad (4.80)$$

We add the extra contributions (4.72)-(4.75) to the reversible contributions (4.36), (4.38), (4.57), and (4.39).

Then we obtain the total current densities

$$j_k = \rho v_k, \quad (4.81)$$

$$\Pi_{ik} = p \delta_{ik} + \rho v_i v_k + \Delta \Pi_{ik}, \quad (4.82)$$

$$q_k = \sigma v_k - \frac{\varkappa}{T} \partial_k T, \quad (4.83)$$

$$j_{E,k} = (\varepsilon + p) v_k - \varkappa \partial_k T + v_i \Delta \Pi_{ik}. \quad (4.84)$$

In the energy current density (4.84) the second term represents the heat current. This fact explains the name *thermal conductivity* for the parameter $\varkappa$. Finally, from Eq. (4.76) we obtain the produced heat density per time

$$R = \eta \left[(\partial_i v_k)(\partial_i v_k) + (\partial_i v_k)(\partial_k v_i) - \frac{2}{d} (\partial_i v_i)(\partial_k v_k) \right] + \zeta (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})^2 + \frac{\varkappa}{T} (\nabla T)^2. \quad (4.85)$$

Now, we summarize. The hydrodynamic equations for a normal fluid with dissipation are given by Eqs. (4.53)-(4.56) together with the current densities (4.81)-(4.84) and the produced heat density per time (4.85). They agree with the hydrodynamic equations which can be found in standard text books [4]. Three of them are pure continuity equations. This means that the related physical quantities energy, momentum, and mass density are conserved quantities. An exception is the equation for the entropy density (4.55). In this case an additional source term is found on the right-hand side which is represented by the produced heat density per time R . Since Eq. (4.85) is a quadratic form and since the parameters η , ζ , and $\varkappa$ are positive the produced heat density per time R is always greater than or equal to zero. Hence the source term in the entropy equation is always greater than or equal to zero. This fact eventually yields the second law of thermodynamics which means that the total entropy of the physical system always grows or at least stays constant.

E. Hydrodynamic fluctuations

The fluctuating forces are represented by the third term in the general hydrodynamic equations (4.12). They are defined by the formula (3.61). Defining the related quantum mechanical operators in the Heisenberg picture

$$\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = i Q(t_0) U(t_0, t) Q(t) L \hat{a}_i(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.86)$$

we calculate the expectations values

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)\}. \quad (4.87)$$

In order to distinguish between operators and expectation values, in this subsection we write the quantum mechanical operators with a hat.

We assume that the orthogonal projection operators $Q(t)$ remove all physical degrees of freedom which vary on large length scales and large time scales. Consequently,

the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ will behave nearly stochastically and are restricted to short length scales and short time scales. Furthermore, we assume that the physical states are described always by pure quantum mechanical states so that at the initial time t_0 the density matrix is given by $\hat{\rho}(t_0) = |\Psi_0\rangle\langle\Psi_0|$. Since a normal fluid is a classical system we expect that the fluctuating forces $\hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are identified approximately as sharply defined classical variables. This fact implies that the expectation value of two fluctuating forces factorizes approximately into two expectation values of single fluctuating forces according to

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{f}_k(\mathbf{r}', t')\} &\approx \\ &\approx \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)\} \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_k(\mathbf{r}', t')\} \\ &= f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_k(\mathbf{r}', t') . \end{aligned} \quad (4.88)$$

Now, we assume that the experiment with the normal fluid is performed many times. Then, for each experiment with number $n = 1, \dots, N$ the density matrix $\hat{\rho}^{(n)}(t_0) = |\Psi_0^{(n)}\rangle\langle\Psi_0^{(n)}|$ is different. Nevertheless, we assume that the statistical average of these density matrices approximately agrees with a relevant density matrix (2.26) so that

$$\langle\hat{\rho}(t_0)\rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \hat{\rho}^{(n)}(t_0) \approx \hat{\rho}(t_0) . \quad (4.89)$$

Consequently, we find the statistical average of the fluctuating forces

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle \approx \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)\} = 0 \quad (4.90)$$

and the correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_k(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle &\approx \\ &\approx \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0) \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{f}_k(\mathbf{r}', t')\} \\ &\approx \int_0^1 d\alpha \text{Tr}\{\hat{\rho}(t_0)^\alpha \hat{f}_i(\mathbf{r}, t) \hat{\rho}(t_0)^{1-\alpha} \hat{f}_k(\mathbf{r}', t')\} \\ &= (f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) | f_k(\mathbf{r}', t'))_{t_0} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.91)$$

Thus, after some manipulations the correlation function of the fluctuating forces can be written in terms of a Mori scalar product. If we insert the operators of the fluctuating forces (4.86) and notice that in the Mori scalar product the time evolution operator $U(t_0, t)$ can be moved approximately into the adjoint position, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_k(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle &\approx (f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) | f_k(\mathbf{r}', t'))_{t_0} \\ &= (\mathbf{Q}(t_0) U(t_0, t) \mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_i(\mathbf{r}) | \mathbf{Q}(t_0) U(t_0, t') \mathbf{Q}(t') \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_k(\mathbf{r}'))_{t_0} \\ &\approx (\mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_i(\mathbf{r}) | [\mathbf{U}(t_0, t)]^+ \mathbf{Q}(t_0) \mathbf{Q}(t_0) U(t_0, t') \mathbf{Q}(t') \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_k(\mathbf{r}'))_t \\ &= (\mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_i(\mathbf{r}) | [\mathbf{U}(t_0, t)]^+ U(t_0, t') \mathbf{Q}(t') \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_k(\mathbf{r}'))_t \\ &= (\mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_i(\mathbf{r}) | U(t, t') \mathbf{Q}(t') \mathbf{L} \hat{a}_k(\mathbf{r}'))_t = k_B M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') . \end{aligned} \quad (4.92)$$

The last equality sign follows from the definition of the memory matrix (3.32). We conclude that the correlation function of the fluctuating forces can be related to the memory matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t')$.

The notion *approximate* is always related to the hydrodynamic approximation which we use here. In this sense in order to simplify the equations from now on we replace the approximation sign by the equality sign. Since we neglect the memory effects we rewrite the memory matrix in terms of the Onsager matrix according to Eq. (4.9). In this way we obtain the correlation function for the fluctuating forces

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_k(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2 k_B M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t; \mathbf{r}', t') \delta(t - t') . \quad (4.93)$$

The main properties of the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are described by the expectation value (4.90) and the correlation function (4.93). By closer inspection we note

that these equations correspond to the defining equations of *Gaussian stochastic forces*. Thus, we conclude that the fluctuating forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ can be interpreted as Gaussian stochastic forces. Consequently, the general hydrodynamic equations (4.12) are stochastic differential equations. Since these equations have been derived from the microscopic theory assuming time-inversion invariance the operator for the time derivative ∂_t is defined symmetrically. In this sense Eq. (4.12) is a stochastic differential equation in the *Stratonovich formalism*.

The Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; t)$ appears in two ways. First, in the second term of the general hydrodynamic equations (4.12) it defines the strength of the *dissipation* effects. Second, in Eq. (4.93) it defines the strength of the *fluctuations* of the stochastic forces. This relation between the fluctuations and the dissipations is well known under the name *second fluctuation-dissipation theorem*.

In the following we investigate the stochastic forces of

the normal fluid. For the relevant variables given by the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we may use the Onsager matrix defined in Eq. (4.59). Then, we obtain the correlation function

$$\langle f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) f_k(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = -\partial_m 2k_B N_{im, kn} \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'). \quad (4.94)$$

By closer inspection of this equation we see that the stochastic forces are extremely short ranged both on the spatial length scale and on the time scale.

Since in the correlation function (4.94) there are two spatial differential operators we may write the stochastic forces $f_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as spatial derivatives of some new stochastic forces $g_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ according to

$$f_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = -\partial_k g_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (4.95)$$

We have derived this representation of the fluctuating forces earlier and generally by (3.74) together with (3.75). It is valid whenever the hydrodynamic variables are densities of conserved quantities. The new stochastic forces can interpreted as additional contributions to the current densities as we shall see later below. While the expectation values of the new stochastic forces are zero as expected the related correlation functions are given by

$$\langle g_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t) g_{mn}(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2k_B N_{ik, mn} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'). \quad (4.96)$$

The change to the alternative relevant variables given by the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is done by replacing the matrix $N_{ik, mn}$ by the alternative matrix $\Lambda_{ik, mn}$. The functional derivatives of the entropy (4.62)-(4.64) have an additional factor $1/T$ while the functional derivatives of the energy density (4.50)-(4.52) do not have. For this reason we must multiply an extra factor T to the correlation function. Thus, for the alternative relevant variables

we obtain

$$\langle g_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t) g_{mn}(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 2k_B T \Lambda_{ik, mn} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'). \quad (4.97)$$

We note that the temperature $T = T(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is not constant but fluctuates. In Eq. (4.50) it is defined as a functional derivative of the energy $E[x]$ so that generally it depends on the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

In the previous subsection we found that because of symmetry most of the elements of the matrix $\Lambda_{ik, mn}$ are zero. Furthermore, since there is no dissipation for the mass density, the related matrix elements involving the mass density are zero. Consequently, there are no stochastic forces for the mass current density, too. Thus, we obtain

$$g_k^j(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0. \quad (4.98)$$

There remain the stochastic forces for the stress tensor $g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and for the entropy current density $g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t)$. According to Eq. (4.90) the expectation values are zero so that

$$\langle g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = 0, \quad (4.99)$$

$$\langle g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle = 0. \quad (4.100)$$

We comment on the notation: In (4.98)-(4.100) and in the following formulas the upper index denotes the respective current density to which the respective stochastic force is related. The invariance under time inversions implies that there are no correlations between the two stochastic forces $g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t)$. Hence it is

$$\langle g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t) g_n^q(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle = 0. \quad (4.101)$$

The remaining correlations are described by the matrices (4.77) and (4.78). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t) g_{mn}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle &= 2k_B T \Lambda_{ik, mn}^{jj} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t') \\ &= 2k_B T \left[\eta \left(\delta_{im} \delta_{kn} + \delta_{in} \delta_{km} - \frac{2}{d} \delta_{ik} \delta_{mn} \right) + \zeta \delta_{ik} \delta_{mn} \right] \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t') \end{aligned} \quad (4.102)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t) g_n^q(\mathbf{r}', t') \rangle &= 2k_B T \Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t') \\ &= 2k_B \varkappa \delta_{kn} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \delta(t - t'). \end{aligned} \quad (4.103)$$

We note that Eqs. (4.98)-(4.103) uniquely define the Gaussian stochastic forces for the mass current density $g_k^j(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the stress tensor $g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the entropy current density $g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t)$. There remains the stochastic force for the energy density. This one is obtained from the

thermodynamic relation

$$g_k^{jE}(\mathbf{r}, t) = T(\mathbf{r}, t) g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t) + v_i(\mathbf{r}, t) g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (4.104)$$

Eventually, the stochastic forces must be added to the hydrodynamic equations. The definition (4.95) implies

that this can be done easily by adding the stochastic forces $g_{ik}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ to the current densities (4.81)-(4.84). In this way we obtain

$$j_k = \rho v_k, \quad (4.105)$$

$$\Pi_{ik} = p \delta_{ik} + \rho v_i v_k + \Delta \Pi_{ik} + g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (4.106)$$

$$q_k = \sigma v_k - \frac{\varkappa}{T} \partial_k T + g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (4.107)$$

$$j_{E,k} = (\varepsilon + p) v_k - \varkappa \partial_k T + v_i \Delta \Pi_{ik} + g_k^{jE}(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (4.108)$$

Until now for the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r}, t)$ the stochastic forces are not completely taken into account. The representation in terms of the divergence of the entropy current density (4.95) is not sufficient here. There will be an additional stochastic source term. This latter term is taken into account, if we add some stochastic forces to the produced heat density per time (4.76) so that

$$R = (\partial_k v_i) \Lambda_{ik,mn}^{jj} (\partial_n v_m) + (\partial_k T) \Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} (\partial_n T) - (\partial_k v_i) g_{ik}^\Pi(\mathbf{r}, t) - (\partial_k T) g_k^q(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (4.109)$$

The two negative signs are related to the minus sign in Eq. (4.95).

As a result we conclude: The hydrodynamic equations (4.53)-(4.56) remain unchanged. The fluctuations are taken into account correctly by some modifications of the current densities (4.105)-(4.108) and the produced heat density per time (4.109) only where some contributions are added which represent the Gaussian stochastic forces. We note that the hydrodynamic fluctuations are investigated and described also in elementary text books [3]. We find that our results agree with those of the text books, especially the correlation functions (4.102) and (4.103).

V. TIME-INVERSION INVARIANCE AND THE SECOND LAW OF THERMODYNAMICS

While the underlying microscopic theory satisfies the invariance under time inversions, it is well known that the statistical mechanics of non-equilibrium systems and the related hydrodynamic equations break this invariance. The reason is that because of the second law of thermodynamics the entropy should always increase with time or at least remain constant according to $dS/dt \geq 0$. The above described statements represents the scientific consensus and the majority opinion in non-equilibrium statistical physics. We now discuss up to what extent these statements are compatible with our theory and whether they must be modified or newly interpreted.

In section III we have derived the generalized hydrodynamic equations (3.35) together with the entropy equation (3.77) from the microscopic theory. Since no approximations have been made and since these equations are exact they must be invariant under time inversion. Hence, for the entropy $S(t)$ in (3.77) the second law of thermodynamics can not be true.

However, the invariance under time inversion is broken if one considers the terms on the right-hand sides of the equations *for there own*. The first term on the right-hand side of the entropy equation (3.77) is a dissipative term and has a *quadratic form*. Hence, it is clear that this term is positive definite which implies the growth of the entropy according to the second law of thermodynamics. Nevertheless, there is an uncertainty. In nonequilibrium the Onsager matrix (3.29) is not symmetric. Therefore, it can not be guaranteed in all cases that it is positive definite. However, if we consider the fluid within the frame of hydrodynamics we can assume that there is a thermal equilibrium at least locally. Thus, on a local level the Onsager matrix (3.29) should be symmetric and positive definite.

Now, we conclude: Since the time-inversion invariance requires the existence of a possibility that the entropy $S(t)$ can also decrease with time this can be achieved only by the second term of the entropy equation (3.77), the *fluctuating term*.

Furthermore, if we consider the three terms on the right-hand side of the generalized hydrodynamic equations (3.35) we come to the following three conclusions:

- (a) The reversible terms satisfy the time-inversion invariance.
- (b) Taken individually the dissipative terms and the fluctuating terms break time-inversion invariance.
- (c) The sum of the dissipative terms and the fluctuating terms satisfies the invariance under time inversions.

We may ask the question which influence do the approximations leading to the hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid in the well known form have on the structure of the three terms and on the validity of the three conclusions. First we notice that the structure of the terms remains unchanged. On all levels of the approximations there are reversible, dissipative, and fluctuating terms. Even the produced heat per volume and time $R(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which appears at the end of our considerations and which is defined in Eq. (4.109) has the same structure as the right-hand side of the exact entropy equation (3.77) without approximations: It has a dissipative and a fluctuating term. The dissipative term causes the growth of the entropy. A decrease of the entropy is possible only by the fluctuating term.

The reversible terms are not affected by the approximations. Hence the conclusion (a) remains unchanged. Furthermore, the fact that the dissipative terms and the fluctuating terms individually break the time-inversion invariance will not be changed qualitatively by the approximations. Hence, the conclusion (b) remains unchanged either. However, the sum of the dissipative terms and the fluctuating terms may be influenced by the approximation because the invariance under time inversions is valid no more exactly but only approximately.

For this reason, the conclusion (c) will be valid only approximately. This fact must be clear especially if in the hydrodynamic equations the fluctuating terms are modeled by Gaussian stochastic forces. However, qualitatively this does not change anything.

We summarize once again. In order to understand the relation between the time-inversion invariance in the hydrodynamic equations and the second law of thermodynamics, it is important to take the *fluctuating terms* into account. Only the fluctuating terms may imply a decrease of the entropy $S(t)$. In this sense the scientific consensus about the second law of thermodynamics must be extended and newly interpreted. We may say that the fluctuating terms *restore* the time-inversion invariance in the hydrodynamic equations.

VI. LANGEVIN- AND FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATIONS

Once in section IV the memory effects were neglected we have obtained the hydrodynamic equations which have the form of stochastic equations. The fluctuating terms were modeled by Gaussian stochastic forces. These properties were found both for the general hydrodynamic equations in the GENERIC form and for the special hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid. In this section we investigate to which extent these equations are compatible with the general theory of stochastic processes.

A. Stochastic processes

In order to describe a stochastic process we consider the variables $x_i(t)$. For a simpler notation of the formulas we omit the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ and consider only the index i . The spatial variable can be added whenever more general formulas are needed. We follow the presentation of the stochastic theory for non-equilibrium systems by Graham and Haken [38–40]. Whenever there are no memory effects present we have a *pure Markov process*. The time evolution of the probability distribution function $P(x, t)$ of the stochastic variables is described by a master equation. If we perform a Kramers-Moyal expansion up to the second order we obtain the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P(x, t) = \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} K_i^{(1)}(x) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} K_{ij}^{(2)}(x) \right] P(x, t) . \quad (6.1)$$

For a simplification of the notation we use the sum convention. This means whenever an index appears twice it is summed over this index automatically. The differential operators on the right-hand side act to the right beyond the square brackets also onto the probability distribution function $P(x, t)$. The first two Kramers-Moyal coefficients are $K_i^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x)$. In general they depend also on the stochastic variables x_i . The second

coefficient is a symmetric matrix $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x) = +K_{ji}^{(2)}(x)$.

Now, we define the fluctuating forces

$$f_i(t) = B_{im}(x(t)) \varepsilon_m(t) \quad (6.2)$$

where $B_{im}(x(t))$ is a matrix and $\varepsilon_m(t)$ are elementary Gaussian stochastic forces which have the properties

$$\langle \varepsilon_m(t) \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle \varepsilon_m(t) \varepsilon_n(t') \rangle = \delta_{mn} \delta(t - t') . \quad (6.3)$$

The number of elementary stochastic forces $\varepsilon_m(t)$ is larger than or equal to the number of fluctuating forces $f_i(t)$. Consequently, the matrix $B_{im}(x)$ is not necessarily square. Nevertheless, we require the condition

$$B_{im}(x) B_{jm}(x) = 2 K_{ij}^{(2)}(x) . \quad (6.4)$$

Following Graham and Haken [39, 40] for the stochastic variables $x_i(t)$ we obtain the Langevin equation

$$\partial_t x_i(t) = K_i^{(1)}(x(t)) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial B_{im}(x(t))}{\partial x_j(t)} B_{jm}(x(t)) + f_i(t) \quad (6.5)$$

which is equivalent to the Fokker-Planck equation (6.1). On the left-hand side of the Langevin equation the time derivative is defined symmetrical. Consequently, Eq. (6.5) is a stochastic differential equation in the *Stratonovich formalism* [41, 42] where the second term with the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ and its derivative is a well known term.

We arrive at the following conclusion: If the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t))$ and the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ themselves depend on the stochastic variables $x_i(t)$, then the definition of the fluctuating forces (6.2) and the Langevin equation (6.5) have additional terms with partial derivatives of these matrices with respect to the stochastic variables. This fact causes the theory to be involved and complicated. However, it must be taken into account carefully.

Now, we investigate, in which cases and under which conditions the Boltzmann distribution function

$$P_{\text{eq}}(x) = Z^{-1} e^{-F(x)} \quad (6.6)$$

written in terms of a given free Energy $F(x)$ is a stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (6.1). This Boltzmann distribution function shall describe the thermal equilibrium. We insert this function into the Fokker-Planck equation and manipulate the resulting formula according to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[-K_i^{(1)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} K_{ij}^{(2)} \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[-K_i^{(1)} + \frac{\partial K_{ij}^{(2)}}{\partial x_j} + K_{ij}^{(2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[-K_i^{(1)} + \frac{\partial K_{ij}^{(2)}}{\partial x_j} - K_{ij}^{(2)} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Now, we write the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient in the form

$$K_i^{(1)}(x) = V_i(x) - K_{ij}^{(2)}(x) \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial K_{ij}^{(2)}(x)}{\partial x_j} \quad (6.8)$$

with a reversible term $V_i(x)$ and two dissipative terms which are parameterized by the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient. Then, from Eq. (6.7) we obtain a condition for the reversible term which reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{\text{eq}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [-V_i(x) P_{\text{eq}}(x)] = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

This condition can be satisfied easily if we write the reversible term in the form

$$V_i(x) = -A_{ij}^{(2)}(x) \frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial A_{ij}^{(2)}(x)}{\partial x_j} \quad (6.10)$$

where $A_{ij}^{(2)}(x) = -A_{ji}^{(2)}(x)$ is an antisymmetric matrix. Then, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [V_i(x) P_{\text{eq}}(x)] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} [A_{ij}^{(2)}(x) P_{\text{eq}}(x)] = 0 \quad (6.11)$$

which is zero because of the antisymmetry of the matrix. Hence, the condition is satisfied. The reversible terms (6.10) have nearly the same form like the dissipative terms in Eq. (6.8) where the difference is represented by the symmetry behaviors of the matrices $A_{ij}^{(2)}(x) = -A_{ji}^{(2)}(x)$ and $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x) = +K_{ji}^{(2)}(x)$.

Now, we insert the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient (6.8) into the Langevin equation (6.5) so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(t) = & V_i(x(t)) - K_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t)) \frac{\partial F(x(t))}{\partial x_j} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} B_{im}(x(t)) \frac{\partial B_{jm}(x(t))}{\partial x_j(t)} + f_i(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Here, the third term is the sum of the second term of (6.5) and the third term of (6.8) where the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient (6.4) has been inserted. This Langevin equation in the Stratonovich form is compatible with the Boltzmann distribution function (6.6) for the thermal equilibrium whenever the reversible term $V_i(x(t))$ either has the form (6.10) or satisfies the condition (6.9) at least.

B. GENERIC formalism

In section IV we have derived the general hydrodynamic equations of the GENERIC formalism. In order to write the equations in a simpler form we omit the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ once again and use the sum convention for the indices. Then, from Eq. (4.12) we obtain the Langevin

equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(t) = & L_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial E(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} \\ & + M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} + f_i(t) \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

where from Eqs. (4.14) and (4.15) we obtain the related constraints

$$L_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} = 0, \quad (6.14)$$

$$M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial E(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} = 0. \quad (6.15)$$

Further constraints are obtained for the conserved quantities like the momentum $\mathbf{P}(x(t))$ and the particle number $N(x(t))$ as usual in the GENERIC formalism.

Now, we show that the Langevin equation (6.13) is a stochastic differential equation in the *Stratonovich formalism* [42]. In order to do this we turn back to the original exact time-evolution equation (3.35) which includes all the memory effects. We integrate this equation over a certain time interval with an extension Δt . Furthermore, we assume that the memory effects have a finite extension in time of the order Δt_M . Then, as an intermediate result we obtain an integral equation with two time scales Δt and Δt_M where on the left hand side there is a difference of two hydrodynamic variables at different times. From this intermediate result we obtain the Langevin equation (6.13) in the limit where both time scales Δt and Δt_M become infinitesimally small. However, the order of the two limits is important. *First* we neglect the memory effects and perform a Markov approximation by taking the limit $\Delta t_M \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, we may replace the memory matrix by the formula (4.9) which includes a delta function in time. Then, in the second term on the right hand side of the original time-evolution equation (3.35) the time integral can be evaluated explicitly so that we obtain the second term of (6.13). Thus, we obtain an integral equation which corresponds to the integrated form of (6.13). *After that* we evaluate the remaining integral by using the mean-value theorem and perform the limit $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$. Thus, as a final result we obtain the Langevin equation (6.13) where the time derivative on the left-hand side is defined in a *symmetric* way. Hence, the final result is a stochastic differential equation in the Stratonovich formalism.

In analogy to Eq. (3.82) we define the grand canonical thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega(x(t)) = E(x(t)) - T S(x(t)) - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{P}(x(t)) - \mu N(x(t)) \quad (6.16)$$

together with three constant Lagrange parameters temperature T , velocity $\mathbf{v}$, and chemical potential μ . Inserting this thermodynamic potential and using the constraints we transform the dissipative term of the

Langevin equation (6.13). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t x_i(t) = & L_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial E(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} \\ & - \frac{1}{T} M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial \Omega(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} + f_i(t) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

We want to show that this Langevin equation is compatible with the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function

$$P_{\text{eq}}(x) = Z^{-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\Omega(x)}{k_B T} \right) . \quad (6.18)$$

For this purpose we compare the formulas of the GENERIC formalism (6.17) and (6.18) in detail with the related formulas of the general stochastic theory (6.12) and (6.6), respectively. First, we identify the free energy $F(x) = \Omega(x)/k_B T$ and the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t)) = k_B M_{ij}(x(t))$. Thus, we find that (6.18) agrees with (6.6) and furthermore the second term of our Langevin equation (6.17) agrees with the second term of the related Langevin equation of the stochastic theory (6.12).

In section IV E we have derived from the microscopic theory that $f_i(t)$ are Gaussian stochastic forces which are defined uniquely by the expectation values (4.90) and the correlation functions (4.93). These forces must be compatible with the fluctuating forces of the stochastic theory defined in the formula (6.2). It turns out that this latter requirement is satisfied because we have already identified the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient by $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t)) = k_B M_{ij}(x(t))$.

However, the third term in (6.12) does not have a counterpart in (6.17). For this reason we must require this term to be zero so that

$$\frac{1}{2} B_{im}(x(t)) \frac{\partial B_{jm}(x(t))}{\partial x_j(t)} = 0 . \quad (6.19)$$

Thus, since generally the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ is nonzero and nonsingular we must require the condition

$$\frac{\partial B_{im}(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} = 0 . \quad (6.20)$$

In the following we show that this condition is satisfied generally for a normal fluid. Via Eq. (6.4) the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ is related to the second Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t)) = k_B M_{ij}(x(t))$ and hence to the Onsager matrix $M_{ij}(x(t))$. In the local approximation the Onsager matrix is defined by the ansatz (4.59) with two spatial differential operators. Thus, for the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ we obtain a similar ansatz with one spatial differential operator. We temporarily add the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ to the arguments once again. Then, we obtain

$$B_{im}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; t) = \partial_k C_{ik,m}(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) \quad (6.21)$$

in terms of a matrix $C_{ik,m}(x(\mathbf{r}, t))$ for which in analogy to Eq. (6.4) we have

$$\sum_m C_{ik,m}(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) C_{jl,m}(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) = 2 k_B N_{ik,jl}(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) . \quad (6.22)$$

Now, we insert the ansatz (6.21) into the condition (6.20), evaluate carefully the functional derivatives, and obtain

$$\sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta B_{im}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1; t)}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} = \sum_{ik} \int d^d r \partial_k \frac{\partial C_{ik,m}(x(\mathbf{r}, t))}{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \delta(\mathbf{0}) = 0 . \quad (6.23)$$

Because of the functional derivative there appears an additional infinite factor $\delta(\mathbf{0})$ which corresponds to a spatial delta function for $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$. However, this factor does not matter. The function in the spatial integral is represented obviously in terms of a divergence. Thus, applying the integral theorem of Gauss we may convert the integral over the whole space into a surface integral. Since the surface is located very far away in the infinite the integral is zero. Thus, we have proven that for a normal fluid the condition (6.20) is satisfied.

Next, we consider the reversible term of the Langevin equation (6.12), identify this term with the first term of our equation (6.17), and obtain

$$V_i(x(t)) = L_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial E(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} . \quad (6.24)$$

It remains to prove that the condition (6.9) is satisfied. Unfortunately, our reversible term (6.24) does not have the form (6.10). We may identify the antisymmetric matrix $A_{ij}^{(2)}(x(t)) = k_B T L_{ik}(x(t))$, but in Eq. (6.10) there is missing the second term with the derivative of this matrix. Furthermore, we identify the free energy $F(x(t)) = E(x(t))/k_B T$ where, however, we should need $F(x(t)) = \Omega(x(t))/k_B T$. A transformation by using the formula (6.16) together with the constraints of the GENERIC formalism is not self-evident because the right-hand sides of the constraints are not zero in all cases.

Thus, we must prove the condition (6.9) explicitly. To do this we apply symmetry arguments and use the properties of conserved quantities. We insert the reversible

term (6.24) together with the Boltzmann distribution function (6.18) into the condition (6.9). Performing some manipulations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} [V_i(x) P_{\text{eq}}(x)] \\
&= \left[\frac{\partial V_i(x)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\partial \Omega(x)}{\partial x_i} V_i(x) \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\
&= \left[\frac{\partial V_i(x)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{k_B T} \frac{\partial \Omega(x)}{\partial x_i} L_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial E(x)}{\partial x_k} \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\
&= \left[\frac{\partial V_i(x)}{\partial x_i} - \frac{1}{k_B T} \{ \Omega(x), E(x) \} \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) . \quad (6.25)
\end{aligned}$$

The second term is zero. This fact has been shown already in section III by evaluating the Poisson bracket (3.95). Hence, for the reversible term we find the necessary condition

$$\frac{\partial V_i(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} = 0 . \quad (6.26)$$

Now, besides the index i we add the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ as an argument once again. For a normal fluid the stochastic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are densities of conserved quantities. In section IV C we have shown that the reversible terms can be written as divergences of current densities. Thus, we may write

$$V_i(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_i(x(\mathbf{r}, t)) . \quad (6.27)$$

We insert this expression into the necessary condition (6.26) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_i \int d^d r \frac{\delta V_i(x(\mathbf{r}, t))}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} &= - \sum_i \int d^d r \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{J}_i(\mathbf{r}, t)}{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)} \delta(\mathbf{0}) \\
&= 0 . \quad (6.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Once again, because of the functional derivative there appears an additional infinite factor $\delta(\mathbf{0})$ which corresponds to a spatial delta function for $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}$. Applying the integral theorem of Gauss, we convert the integral over the whole space into a surface integral. Since the surface is located very far away in the infinite the integral is zero. Consequently, the necessary condition is satisfied for the reversible terms.

In summary we find: The Eqs. (6.20) and (6.26) represent two conditions which must be satisfied so that our hydrodynamic equations for a normal fluid with Gaussian fluctuations is compatible with the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (6.18) in thermal equilibrium. The conditions (6.20) and (6.26) are satisfied quite generally because they can be rewritten as integrals of *divergences* of some *vector functions*. Applying the theorem of Gauss these integrals can be converted into surface integrals and hence yield the required zero result. This fact becomes clear and evident by closer inspection of the expressions (6.21) and (6.27) and of the equations (6.23) and (6.28). It is a result of the fact that for the

relevant hydrodynamic variables we have chosen the *densities of conserved quantities*. As a consequence, the hydrodynamic equations are continuity equations where on the right-hand sides there are always *divergences of current densities*.

The hydrodynamic equations can be extended by some additional variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ which represent order parameters for the breaking of some symmetries and the description of second-order phase transitions [43]. Examples are the magnetization density $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of a ferromagnetic system or the condensate wave function $\Psi(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of superfluid ^4He . However, in these cases the condition (6.20) can not be satisfied in general. For, the related components of the Onsager matrix $M_{ij}(x(t))$ and hence the related components of the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ are generally not constant but depend on the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(t)$ so that the related derivatives in (6.20) are nonzero. On the other hand we can show by symmetry arguments that for the reversible terms (6.24) the necessary condition (6.26) is always satisfied. This, however, is not sufficient. In general we can not guarantee that the extended hydrodynamic equations with fluctuations are compatible with the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (6.18) in thermal equilibrium.

The Langevin equation of the GENERIC formalism (6.13) was derived with the assumption that the relevant density matrix is given by a local grand canonical distribution function which has the form (2.26) in terms of the physical variables [44]. The derivation is not influenced whether the microscopic physical system is classical or quantum mechanical. Nevertheless, we had to overcome some difficulties in order to show that at least for a normal fluid the thermal equilibrium is described by the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (6.18) together with the grand canonical thermodynamic potential (6.16).

Alternatively, within the GENERIC formalism the Fokker-Planck equation (6.1) can be derived directly [45]. For this purpose one considers the *function values* of the distribution function $f(x, t)$ of the physical variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ as the *relevant variables* which one uses to construct the projection operator formalism. In this case the underlying ensemble is *micro canonical*. Hence, this derivation is possible only classically but never quantum mechanically. As a result one finds that the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (6.18) is a stationary solution of the Fokker-Planck equation for the thermal equilibrium automatically. Both the dissipative term and the reversible term have the forms required by (6.8) and (6.10). On the other hand, in this case the formulas for the Poisson matrix $L_{ik}(x)$ and the formulas for the Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(x)$ are much more complicated [45]. A similar derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation for nonlinear hydrodynamics with fluctuations was given earlier by Zubarev and Morozov [46]. The related Langevin equations have been found by Morozov [47] and furthermore by Kim and Mazenko [48]. Nevertheless, the GENERIC formalism is much more elegant for the

derivation of the equations and for the treatment of the nonlinearities and the fluctuations.

Finally, we consider some relations of a special kind. In a normal fluid the temperature $T(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the velocity $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the chemical potential $\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)$ are *local fluctuating quantities* which are defined by the functional derivatives of the entropy (4.62)-(4.64). On the other hand there are the *constant Lagrange parameters* T , $\mathbf{v}$ and μ . We want to figure out in which way these two sets of variables are related to each other. In order to do this we calculate the integral of the derivative of the Boltzmann distribution function and apply the integral theorem of Gauss. Thus, in the simplified notation we obtain

$$\int Dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} P_{\text{eq}}(x) = 0. \quad (6.29)$$

The measure Dx means that the integral is performed with respect to all hydrodynamic variables x_i . Whenever the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r})$ also depend on the space coordinate $\mathbf{r}$, the integral is a *functional integral* where the derivatives are *functional derivatives* [49]. For a normal fluid we calculate this functional integral with functional derivatives with respect to the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t)$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r}, t)$, and the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$. In this way we obtain the relations

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right\rangle_{\text{eq}} = \frac{1}{T}, \quad (6.30)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right\rangle_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{T}, \quad (6.31)$$

$$\left\langle \frac{\mu(\mathbf{r}, t)}{T(\mathbf{r}, t)} \right\rangle_{\text{eq}} = \frac{\mu}{T} \quad (6.32)$$

where the expectation values are evaluated in thermal equilibrium. Consequently, the Lagrange parameters T , $\mathbf{v}$ and μ can not be identified directly with the physical quantities temperature, velocity, and chemical potential. Rather, they are related to these physical quantities by certain expectation values.

C. Entropy in thermal equilibrium

The entropy equation (4.13) as well can be written in a simpler form if we omit the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ and use the sum convention for the indices. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} S(x(t)) &= \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} \\ &+ \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} f_i(t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

The first term on the right-hand side is a dissipative term. Since the Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(x(t))$ is positive definite this quadratic term always yields a contribution greater than or equal to zero. Consequently, this term implies

the growth of the entropy and hence the second law of thermodynamics.

In thermal equilibrium there are fluctuations as well. Thus, in thermal equilibrium the first dissipative term will be greater than or equal to zero, too. However, the second fluctuating term must act against this tendency and compensate the growth of the entropy. For, in thermal equilibrium the entropy has already reached its maximum value so that it must remain constant on average. A long term drift of the entropy upward or downward would be a contradiction. In this subsection we will prove this fact and show that our stochastic theory for the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid is consistent and does not lead to contradictions.

In the following we calculate the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient of the entropy $K_S^{(1)}(x(t))$. We do this as one usually calculates the Kramers-Moyal coefficients from the stochastic differential equations. Thus, we integrate Eq. (6.33) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(x(t_2)) &= S(x(t_1)) \\ &+ \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[\frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} f_i(t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

In the same way we integrate the Langevin equation (6.13) and obtain an integral equation for the stochastic variables $x_i(t)$. Hereafter, on the right-hand side of Eq. (6.34) we replace the stochastic variables $x_i(t)$ for times $t > t_1$ repeatedly by using the latter integral equation. As a result we obtain a nested perturbation series which depends only on the stochastic variables $x_i(t_1)$ at time t_1 and on the elementary Gaussian stochastic forces $\varepsilon_m(t)$ for times t in the interval $t_1 < t < t_2$. We assume that the difference $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$ is small and expand the right-hand side with respect to powers of Δt up to the first order.

After that we calculate the average with respect to the elementary stochastic forces $\varepsilon_m(t)$ for times in the interval $t_1 < t < t_2$. This average corresponds to an average calculated with a conditional joined probability distribution. As a result we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(x(t_2)) \rangle_{\text{conditional}} &= S(x(t_1)) + (t_2 - t_1) K_S^{(1)}(x(t_1)) \\ &+ O((t_2 - t_1)^2) \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

together with the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient

$$\begin{aligned} K_S^{(1)}(x(t)) &= \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} M_{ik}(x(t)) \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_k(t)} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k(t)} \frac{\partial S(x(t))}{\partial x_i(t)} k_B M_{ik}(x(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

Furthermore, we calculate the expectation value with the probability distribution function $P(x(t_1), t_1)$ for the stochastic variables $x_i(t_1)$ at time t_1 . Then, from Eq.

(6.35) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S(x(t_2)) \rangle &= \langle S(x(t_1)) \rangle + (t_2 - t_1) \langle K_S^{(1)}(x(t_1)) \rangle \\ &\quad + O((t_2 - t_1)^2) \end{aligned} \quad (6.37)$$

which in the limit $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$ implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle S(x(t)) \rangle = \langle K_S^{(1)}(x(t)) \rangle. \quad (6.38)$$

In the next step we evaluate the expectation value of the Kramers-Moyal coefficients by using the grand canonical Boltzman distribution function (6.18) and obtain

$$\langle K_S^{(1)}(x) \rangle_{\text{eq}} = \int Dx \left[\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} M_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_k} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \right) \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x). \quad (6.39)$$

The constraint (6.15) is valid for the energy $E(x)$. Similar constraints are valid also for the further conserved quantities $\mathbf{P}(x)$ and $N(x)$. For this reason in the first term we may replace the entropy by the grand canonical thermodynamic potential according to $S(x) \rightarrow -\Omega(x)/T$ where we use the formula (6.16). Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K_S^{(1)}(x) \rangle_{\text{eq}} &= \int Dx \left[-\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} M_{ik}(x) \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \Omega(x)}{\partial x_k} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \right) \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\ &= \int Dx \left[\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \right) \right] P_{\text{eq}}(x) \\ &= \int Dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) P_{\text{eq}}(x) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

In the last line the integral over the stochastic variables can be converted into a surface integral by using the integral theorem of Gauss. Hence, this integral is zero so that as a final result we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle S(x(t)) \rangle_{\text{eq}} = \langle K_S^{(1)}(x(t)) \rangle_{\text{eq}} = 0. \quad (6.41)$$

Thus, in thermal equilibrium the entropy $S(x(t))$ remains constant on average as it is expected. Our stochastic equations with the Gaussian fluctuating forces (6.2) satisfy this expectation or requirement.

VII. FLUCTUATION THEOREM

Following our investigations the second law of thermodynamics is valid only *on average*. This means that the average entropy $\langle S(x(t)) \rangle$ grows with time or remains at least constant. Hence, the inequality

$$\langle \Delta S \rangle = \langle S(x(t')) - S(x(t)) \rangle \geq 0 \quad (7.1)$$

is valid for $\Delta t = t' - t > 0$. However, the fluctuations imply that for short time intervals Δt the entropy $S(x(t))$ may temporarily also decrease so that $\Delta S = S(x(t')) - S(x(t)) < 0$ is possible for certain time intervals $\Delta t = t' - t$.

Similar issues were investigated twenty years ago by Evans *et al.* [8, 9] within computer simulations for mesoscopic many-particle systems. These investigations lead

to the formulation of the so called *fluctuation theorem*. A comprehensive description is found in the review article by Evans and Searles [10]. Experimentally, the fluctuation theorem was observed and verified in small systems with colloidal particles [50]. In this section we examine to which extent the fluctuation theorem can be applied to or is valid at all for the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid.

While Evans *et al.* [8, 9] observed, formulated and heuristically explained the fluctuation theorem, mathematical proofs were provided later by Gallavotti and Cohen [51, 52], by Kurchan [53], and by Lebowitz and Spohn [54]. An systematic and straight forward derivation of the fluctuation theorem was developed by Crooks [19–21] for physical systems which are described generally by stochastic processes without memory, i.e. Markov processes. A normal fluid described by the hydrodynamic equations with fluctuations of section IV belongs to this class of physical systems. For this reason in the following we present the derivation of the fluctuation theorem of Crooks [19, 20] within the framework of the GENERIC formalism.

A. Micro reversibility and detailed balance

The fluctuation theorem is a consequence of micro reversibility and the principle of detailed balance. For this reason we first consider these issues. We assume that t

and t' are two times where t is *earlier* and t' is *later*. Thus, the difference $\Delta t = t' - t$ is positive. We denote the hydrodynamic variables at these times shortly by x_i and x'_i .

The Fokker-Planck equation (6.1) and alternatively the Langevin equation (6.5) together with the stochastic forces (6.2) describe a stochastic process which proceeds *forward* in time. As a solution we obtain the conditional probability $P_F(x'|x)$ which is the distribution function of the hydrodynamic variables x'_i at the later time t' if the system is in a state with the hydrodynamic variables x_i at the earlier time t . The index F indicates that the stochastic process is a *forward process*.

Alternatively a Fokker-Planck equation and a Langevin equation can be found which describe the time inversed stochastic process which proceeds *backward* in time. In this case there will be some changes in the equations which are related to the fact that the sign of the dissipative term changes in the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient. As a solution we obtain the conditional probability $P_R(x|x')$ which is the distribution function of the hydrodynamic variables x_i at the earlier time t if the system is in a state with the hydrodynamic variables x'_i at the later time t' . The index R indicates that the stochastic process is a *backward process* or a *reversed process*.

The microscopic physical system from which the hydrodynamic equations with the fluctuations are derived is symmetric with respect to time inversion. This means that on a microscopic level the physical system proceeds equally forward in time and backward in time. In statistical physics this kind of *micro reversibility* leads to the principle of *detailed balance* with an equilibrium distribution function $P_{\text{eq}}(x)$. The probability of the forward process must be equal to the probability of the backward process. Thus, there will be the relation

$$P_F(x'|x) P_{\text{eq}}(x) = P_R(x|x') P_{\text{eq}}(x'). \quad (7.2)$$

Here we insert the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function (6.18), cancel the normalization factor Z on both sides of the equation, and then obtain

$$P_F(x'|x) \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega(x)}{k_B T}\right) = P_R(x|x') \exp\left(-\frac{\Omega(x')}{k_B T}\right) \quad (7.3)$$

where $\Omega(x)$ is the grand canonical thermodynamic potential defined in (6.16).

In a normal fluid the energy $E(x)$, the momentum $\mathbf{P}(x)$, and the particle number $N(x)$ are conserved quantities. Consequently, the conditional probabilities $P_F(x'|x)$ and $P_R(x|x')$ contain delta functions with these conserved quantities as factors which are $\delta(E(x') - E(x))$, $\delta(\mathbf{P}(x') - \mathbf{P}(x))$, and $\delta(N(x') - N(x))$. As a consequence these delta functions imply that if we insert the grand canonical thermodynamic potential (6.16) we find that in (7.3) all terms depending on the energy $E(x)$, the momentum $\mathbf{P}(x)$, and the particle number $N(x)$ cancel on both sides of the equation. Only the term with the entropy $S(x)$ remains. Thus, the condition for detailed bal-

ance reduces to

$$P_F(x'|x) \exp(S(x)/k_B) = P_R(x|x') \exp(S(x')/k_B). \quad (7.4)$$

It turns out that the condition for detailed balance can be proven directly from the Fokker-Planck equation (6.1) as shown by Graham and Haken [38–40]. Alternatively, the proof can be performed using the functional-integral representation of the dynamic stochastic processes by Janssen [55] and de Dominicis [56]. As a result we obtain a condition like (7.4) where in the arguments of the exponential functions on both sides there is the functional which determines the dissipative term in the Langevin equation. For the GENERIC formalism this dissipative term is the second term on the right hand side of (6.13). It is a product of the Onsager matrix $M_{ik}(x)$ and the derivative of the entropy $\partial S(x)/\partial x_k$. Consequently, for the GENERIC formalism the condition for micro reversibility and detailed balance is given by equation (7.4) where in the arguments of the exponential functions on both sides there is the entropy $S(x)$.

We conclude that equation (7.4) is the more general and more fundamental form for the condition of micro reversibility and detailed balance. In the GENERIC formalism it is valid even if the energy $E(x)$ and the momentum $\mathbf{P}(x)$ are not conserved. The latter case can be achieved if we confine the fluid within a finite volume which changes with time. For this purpose to the energy $E(x)$ we may add a term with a time and space dependent potential. On the other hand, the equations (7.3) and (7.2) are more special conditions which are valid only if the conservation laws are satisfied.

We note that we have proven the condition (7.4) for the GENERIC formalism using the assumptions that the matrix $B_{im}(x)$ in the definition of the fluctuating forces (6.2) and the reversible term (6.24) satisfy the necessary conditions (6.20) and (6.26), respectively. In section VI B these two conditions were used in order to prove that the grand canonical Boltzmann distribution (6.18) is the distribution function for the thermal equilibrium.

B. The fluctuation theorem in its original form

Now, we assume that at the time t the initial state for the forward process is described by the probability distribution $P_{F,0}(x)$. Likewise, we assume that at the time t' the initial state for the backward process is described by the probability distribution $P_{R,0}(x')$. Using these distribution functions we define the joined probabilities

$$P_F(x', x) = P_F(x'|x) P_{F,0}(x), \quad (7.5)$$

$$P_R(x, x') = P_R(x|x') P_{R,0}(x'), \quad (7.6)$$

which describe the forward process and the backward process between the two times t and t' . Then, from the condition for detailed balance (7.4) for the joined probabilities we obtain

$$P_F(x', x) \exp(-\Delta \Sigma_F(x', x)/k_B) = P_R(x, x') \quad (7.7)$$

or alternatively

$$P_F(x', x) = P_R(x, x') \exp(-\Delta\Sigma_R(x, x')/k_B) \quad (7.8)$$

depending on whether the exponential factors are put all together either to the left-hand side or to the right-hand side. The arguments of the exponential factors are determined by the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\Sigma_F(x', x) &= -\Delta\Sigma_R(x, x') \\ &= S(x') - S(x) \\ &\quad -k_B [\ln P_{R,0}(x') - \ln P_{F,0}(x)] \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

which have different signs for the forward process and for the backward process.

These functions enable the definition of a new variable $\Delta\Sigma$ which describes some kind of entropy change of the state in the time interval $\Delta t = t' - t$. We multiply the joined probabilities (7.5) and (7.6) by the respective delta functions and integrate over the variables x_i and x'_i . As results we obtain two probability distribution functions for the variable $\Delta\Sigma$ which are

$$P_F(\Delta\Sigma) = \int Dx' \int Dx \delta(\Delta\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma_F(x', x)) P_F(x', x) \quad (7.10)$$

for the forward process and

$$P_R(\Delta\Sigma) = \int Dx \int Dx' \delta(\Delta\Sigma - \Delta\Sigma_R(x, x')) P_R(x, x') \quad (7.11)$$

for the backward process. Now, we multiply the conditions (7.7) or (7.8) on both sides by respective delta functions and integrate over the variables x_i and x'_i . Then, as a result we obtain a condition for the probabilities (7.10) and (7.11) which is the well known *fluctuation theorem of Crooks* [20]

$$\frac{P_F(+\Delta\Sigma)}{P_R(-\Delta\Sigma)} = \exp(\Delta\Sigma/k_B). \quad (7.12)$$

The two different signs of $\Delta\Sigma$ in the arguments of the probabilities on the left hand side follow from the two signs of the functions (7.9) for the forward process and for the backward process.

Next, in the equation (7.12) we reorder the factors and integrate over the remaining variable $\Delta\Sigma$. Thus, we obtain the *integral fluctuation theorem*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \exp(-\Delta\Sigma/k_B) \rangle &= \int d(\Delta\Sigma) P_F(\Delta\Sigma) \exp(-\Delta\Sigma/k_B) \\ &= \int d(\Delta\Sigma) P_R(-\Delta\Sigma) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

This integral version of the fluctuation theorem was first derived generally for Markov processes by Crooks [20] and later specially for colloidal particles in a solvent by Seifert [57]. It may be interpreted as a Jarzynski equation [11, 12] for the variable $\Delta\Sigma$ as we will see below.

In his derivations Crooks [19–21] divides the time interval $\Delta t = t' - t$ into many infinitesimally small intervals and thus considers the complete stochastic process between t and t' . The reason is the fact that the external forces are described by time dependent parameters which must be taken into account correctly. In our case, the situation is much simpler. The GENERIC formalism yields the condition for detailed balance (7.4) in a form where in the exponential factors the arguments already contain the entropy only. Thus, it suffices to define and use the joined probabilities (7.5) and (7.6) for only two times, the begin t and the end t' of the interval.

While the fluctuation theorems (7.12) and (7.13) have a simple form, the main difficulty is the meaning and interpretation of the variable $\Delta\Sigma$, defined in (7.9). In hydrodynamics the entropy is $S(x)$ which on the microscopic level can be defined by the maximization procedure (2.21) with the constraints (2.22) and (2.23). Consequently, the first term in the formula (7.9) is the entropy change $\Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ of the stochastic process from the initial time t to the final time t' . On the other hand the second term consists of the difference of logarithms of the two probability distribution functions $P_{F,0}(x)$ and $P_{R,0}(x')$ which describe the initial state of the forward process and the initial state of the backward process, respectively. This contribution can be interpreted also as a change of some kind of entropy, at least formally. However, it is completely arbitrary because the two distribution functions for the initial states can be chosen arbitrarily in any way. The precise physical meaning of the variable $\Delta\Sigma$ will be specified not before and only after a concrete choice has been made for the initial distribution functions $P_{F,0}(x)$ and $P_{R,0}(x')$.

A general and detailed description of the fluctuation theorems in their different versions and variants can be found in the review article by Seifert [58]. In this article the underlying theory is specified by a Langevin equation for colloidal particles in a solvent. There, an entropy variable similar like $\Delta\Sigma$ in (7.9) is considered, with a similar structure and also with two contributions. The first term is the entropy change in the medium or solvent which can be compared with our entropy change $\Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ in the fluid. The second term is interpreted as the entropy change of the colloidal many-particle system. We note that our considerations about the fluctuation theorem for the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid within the framework of the GENERIC formalism lead to similar results like the considerations of Seifert [58] for colloidal many-particle systems.

In the following for the initial functions $P_{F,0}(x)$ and $P_{R,0}(x')$ we use the Boltzmann distribution of the thermal equilibrium (6.18) together with the grand canonical thermodynamic potential (6.16). Thus, we choose

$$P_{F,0}(x) = P_{\text{eq}}(x) = Z^{-1} \exp(-\Omega(x)/k_B T), \quad (7.14)$$

$$P_{R,0}(x') = P_{\text{eq}}(x') = Z'^{-1} \exp(-\Omega(x')/k_B T). \quad (7.15)$$

We assume, that some space and time dependent external forces are exerted onto the fluid described by some

external space and time dependent parameters. As a consequence the two equilibrium distributions (7.14) and (7.15) are different for the two times t and t' . Especially, the two normalization factors Z and Z' are different. Thus, we can formally define the change of a *free energy* ΔF which reads

$$\Delta F = -k_B T [\ln Z' - \ln Z] . \quad (7.16)$$

Now, we insert the initial distribution functions (7.14) and (7.15) into the formula (7.9). We clearly see that the entropy change $\Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ which is the first term in (7.9) is canceled by the second term because the grand canonical thermodynamic potential (6.16) has an explicit entropy term. Thus, for the entropy variable $\Delta \Sigma$ we obtain the two functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \Sigma_F(x', x) &= -\Delta \Sigma_R(x, x') \\ &= \{ [E(x') - E(x)] - \mathbf{v} \cdot [\mathbf{P}(x') - \mathbf{P}(x)] \\ &\quad - \mu [N(x') - N(x)] - \Delta F \} / T \end{aligned} \quad (7.17)$$

with different signs for the forward process and for the backward process. (6.16).

For an explicit example we assume that the fluid is confined in a finite volume which is changed by time dependent external forces. In this way the fluid is compressed and expanded. The first term in the formula (7.17) is the change of the energy $\Delta E = E(x') - E(x)$. This term must be interpreted as the work ΔW which is applied to the fluid. Thus, we define work functions with different signs for the forward process and for the backward process by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta W_F(x', x) &= -\Delta W_R(x, x') \\ &= E(x') - E(x) . \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

If the fluid is confined into a finite volume by an external space and time dependent potential, then the translation invariance is violated so that the momentum is no more conserved. In this case in the Boltzmann distribution functions (7.14) and (7.15) the Lagrange parameter for the velocity must be zero so that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$. As a consequence in (7.17) the second term is zero. Furthermore, the conservation of the particle number implies that in (7.17) we may set the third term to zero according to $N(x') - N(x) \rightarrow 0$. This replacement may be done because the joined probabilities (7.5) and (7.6) have the related delta functions as factors. Finally, the last term in the formula (7.17) is identified by the change of the free energy (7.16).

After all these considerations from (7.17) we obtain the result

$$\Delta \Sigma_F(x', x) = [\Delta W_F(x', x) - \Delta F] / T \quad (7.19)$$

for the forward process. Thus, there is a linear relation between the entropy variable $\Delta \Sigma$ and the work ΔW . Using this relation as a formula for a variable transformation, from (7.10) and (7.11) we find related probability

distributions for the work $P_F(\Delta W)$ and $P_R(\Delta W)$. Accordingly the formula (7.12) can be transformed, so that the *fluctuation theorem of Crooks* [19] can be rewritten in the form

$$\frac{P_F(+\Delta W)}{P_R(-\Delta W)} = \exp([\Delta W - \Delta F]/T) . \quad (7.20)$$

Furthermore, we reorder the factors and integrate over the work ΔW . Then, in agreement with (7.13) we obtain the integral fluctuation theorem

$$\langle \exp(-\Delta W/k_B T) \rangle = \exp(-\Delta F/k_B T) \quad (7.21)$$

which was derived first by Jarzynski [11, 12] and which is known as the *Jarzynski equation*. While the original Jarzynski equation (7.21) was derived for the work ΔW done by external forces we may interpret (7.13) as the Jarzynski equation for the entropy variable $\Delta \Sigma$.

Finally, we consider the thermal equilibrium. Here, the energy, the momentum, and the particle number are conserved. Furthermore, the normalization factors Z and Z' are equal so that the free energy (7.16) is zero. Consequently, all terms in the definition of the entropy variable (7.17) may be set to zero so that the probability distribution functions (7.10) and (7.11) simplify into delta functions. Thus, in thermal equilibrium we obtain the probability distribution functions for the entropy variable

$$P_{F,\text{eq}}(\Delta \Sigma) = P_{R,\text{eq}}(\Delta \Sigma) = \delta(\Delta \Sigma) \quad (7.22)$$

and for the work

$$P_{F,\text{eq}}(\Delta W) = P_{R,\text{eq}}(\Delta W) = \delta(\Delta W) . \quad (7.23)$$

The fluctuation theorems (7.12), (7.13) and (7.20), (7.21) are satisfied *trivially* by these probability distribution functions. Thus, as a result we note: In all its variants and versions the fluctuation theorem is valid both in thermal equilibrium and in nonequilibrium.

The exponential function is a convex function. For this reason from the integral fluctuation theorem (7.13) we obtain the inequality

$$\langle \Delta \Sigma \rangle \geq 0 . \quad (7.24)$$

The equality sign holds if and only if the probability distribution functions (7.10) and (7.11) are delta functions. This fact is true in thermal equilibrium together with (7.22). Thus, we conclude: The entropy variable $\Delta \Sigma$ and the related fluctuation theorems are compatible with the second law of thermodynamics. In nonequilibrium the entropy variable increases on average, while in thermal equilibrium it remains constant.

We summarize and arrive at the following result: Most of the considerations about and most of the variants of the fluctuation theorem which are described in the review article by Seifert [58] for colloidal particles in a solvent may be transferred to the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid

and the GENERIC formalism. However, we are not satisfied with the interpretation of the entropy variable $\Delta\Sigma$ defined by the functions (7.9). Within the hydrodynamic approach it is not clear what the second term in the formula (7.9) means physically.

C. A modified fluctuation theorem for the entropy

In hydrodynamics we need a fluctuation theorem, where the variable is the entropy change $\Delta\Sigma = \Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ without any additional second term. Thus, in this subsection we derive a fluctuation theorem of such a kind. Once again the starting point is the conditional probability $P(x'|x)$ for the relevant hydrodynamic variables. From this we calculate the conditional probability for the entropy change ΔS by the formula

$$P(\Delta S|x) = \int Dx' \delta(\Delta S - [S(x') - S(x)]) P(x'|x) . \quad (7.25)$$

In the following we consider the *forward process* only. The initial state is described by the hydrodynamic variables x_i at the earlier time t . The final state is described by the hydrodynamic variables x'_i at the later time t' . The time interval $\Delta t = t' - t$ is assumed to be *positive*.

First, we calculate the conditional probability for the relevant hydrodynamic variables $P(x'|x)$ as a solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (6.1). For infinitesimally small time intervals Δt we obtain a Gaussian distribution function for the hydrodynamic variables x'_i of the final state. The position and the width of the distribution function are described by the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients $K_i^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x)$ for the hydrodynamic variables of the initial state x_i .

In the next step we insert the above calculated distribution function into the formula (7.25). We evaluate the integral over x'_i and obtain the conditional probability for the entropy change $P(\Delta S|x)$. As a result we obtain a Gaussian distribution function once again which is represented by

$$P(\Delta S|x) = [4\pi K_S^{(2)}(x) \Delta t]^{-1/2} \times \exp\left\{-\frac{[\Delta S - K_S^{(1)}(x) \Delta t]^2}{4 K_S^{(2)}(x) \Delta t}\right\} \quad (7.26)$$

in terms of two Kramers-Moyal coefficients $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_S^{(2)}(x)$ for the entropy. In order to obtain this simple formula in the argument of the exponential function we have expanded the expression in powers of small Δt . For this reason the formula (7.26) holds only for infinitesimally small time intervals Δt . The two Kramers-Moyal coefficients for the entropy $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_S^{(2)}(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients $K_i^{(1)}(x)$ and $K_{ij}^{(2)}(x)$ for the hydrodynamic variables. We

find the two formulas

$$K_S^{(1)}(x) = \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} K_i^{(1)}(x) + \frac{\partial^2 S(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_k} K_{ik}^{(2)}(x) , \quad (7.27)$$

$$K_S^{(2)}(x) = \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} K_{ik}^{(2)}(x) \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_k} \quad (7.28)$$

which are valid for any arbitrary function $S = S(x)$. In each formula the first term can be explained by the transformation formulas of the differential calculus. However, the second term for the first formula (7.27) contains the second derivatives of $S(x)$ and hence is a specialty of the stochastic processes.

Now we have an explicit probability distribution function for which we can derive a special modified fluctuation theorem. We calculate the quotient of the probabilities like on the left-hand side of the original fluctuation theorem (7.12) and insert the distribution function (7.26) explicitly. Then, after some manipulations as a result we obtain

$$\frac{P(+\Delta S|x)}{P(-\Delta S|x)} = \exp\{\alpha(x) \Delta S/k_B\} \quad (7.29)$$

together with the dimensionless factor

$$\alpha(x) = k_B \frac{K_S^{(1)}(x)}{K_S^{(2)}(x)} . \quad (7.30)$$

Clearly, Eq. (7.29) is an extension and modification of the fluctuation theorem. On the right-hand side in the argument of the exponential function there is an additional factor $\alpha(x)$ which is a ratio of the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients. It turns out that the fluctuation theorem is valid in its original form (7.12) only if the factor is $\alpha(x) = 1$ which requires that the condition

$$K_S^{(1)}(x) = K_S^{(2)}(x)/k_B \quad (7.31)$$

is satisfied. Otherwise our equation (7.29) is an *extension* and *modification* of the fluctuation theorem.

We note that our equation (7.29) has been derived only for infinitesimally small time intervals Δt . A generalization to larger finite time intervals Δt is possible without any changes whenever the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients $K_S^{(1)}(x(t))$ and $K_S^{(2)}(x(t))$ are constant and do not depend on the time t via the paths $x_i(t)$. This requirement is satisfied if the normal fluid is in a *stationary* non-equilibrium state and if the effects of fluctuations are small. Examples are a laminar stationary flow with shears or a stationary heat transport by thermal conduction. In these cases the time interval Δt can be very long. On the other hand, for a turbulent flow or for a heat transport with chaotic convection the time interval Δt is quite short.

D. Explicit calculation of the Kramers-Moyal coefficients

In order to understand the relation between the original fluctuation theorem (7.12) and our more general

equation (7.29) together with the dimensionless factor (7.30) we need explicit formulas for the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients. In subsection VIC we have already calculated the first coefficient which is given by Eq. (6.36). Omitting the time argument we have

$$K_S^{(1)}(x) = \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} M_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \right). \quad (7.32)$$

The second coefficient can be obtained from the Langevin equation for the entropy (6.33). In order to do this we consider the second fluctuating term on the right-hand side of this equation and insert the fluctuating force (6.2). We furthermore use Eq. (6.4) and the relation $K_{ik}^{(2)}(x(t)) = k_B M_{ik}(x(t))$. Then, we obtain

$$K_S^{(2)}(x) = \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_i} k_B M_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_k}. \quad (7.33)$$

Clearly, the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients (7.32) and (7.33) do not satisfy the necessary condition (7.31) for the fluctuation theorem in general.

Alternatively, the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients for the entropy can be calculated by using the formulas (7.27) and (7.28). In order to do this we need the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients for the hydrodynamic variables in an explicit form. We obtain these latter coefficients from the Langevin equation of the GENERIC formalism (6.13) by comparing with the general form of the stochastic theory (6.5) or (6.12). This comparison must be done carefully by using the fact that all Langevin equations are defined in the Stratonovich formalism. Furthermore, we use the condition (6.20) for the matrix $B_{im}(x(t))$ so that the third term of the general equation (6.12) is zero. Once we have obtained the needed Kramers-Moyal coefficients for the hydrodynamic variables we insert the coefficients into the formulas (7.27) and (7.28). The constraint condition (6.14) implies that any reversible term is zero. Thus, as a result we recover (7.32) and (7.33).

The first Kramers-Moyal coefficient (7.32) has two contributions, a dissipative and a fluctuating. Correspondingly, we decompose

$$K_S^{(1)}(x) = K_{S,\text{diss}}^{(1)}(x) + K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x). \quad (7.34)$$

If we compare the first term of Eq. (7.32) with the second coefficient (7.33) we obtain

$$K_{S,\text{diss}}^{(1)}(x) = K_S^{(2)}(x)/k_B. \quad (7.35)$$

Clearly, this equation agrees with the condition (7.31). Hence, the dissipative part of the first coefficient would satisfy the necessary condition for the fluctuation theorem. The deviations and the modifications are caused by the fluctuating part of the first coefficient. From the second term of Eq. (7.32) we extract the formula

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x) = k_B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(M_{ik}(x) \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x_k} \right) \quad (7.36)$$

for this latter coefficient where the order of the factors has been changed somewhat and the indices have been renamed.

Thus, in order to understand the deviations of the modified fluctuation theorem (7.29) from the original one (7.12) we must consider the dimensionless factor (7.30) more carefully. By using the Eqs. (7.35) and (7.34) we rearrange the formula (7.30) and obtain the dimensionless factor

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{K_S^{(1)}(x)}{K_{S,\text{diss}}^{(1)}(x)} = \frac{K_S^{(1)}(x)}{K_S^{(1)}(x) - K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)}. \quad (7.37)$$

The deviations of the fluctuation theorem from the original form will be small whenever the fluctuating part $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)$ is much smaller than the total first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ so that in good approximation it is $\alpha(x) \approx 1$. Conversely, there will occur significant deviations whenever $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)$ comes into the same order of magnitude as $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ or becomes even larger. Thus, for a successful estimation we must calculate both the fluctuating part $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)$ and the total first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ explicitly.

In the following we consider a normal fluid and hence once again add the spatial variable $\mathbf{r}$ to the arguments of the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r})$. We insert the spatially local formula (4.59) for the Onsager matrix. Then we obtain the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = -k_B \int d^d r \frac{\delta}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r})} \left(\partial_m N_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r})) \partial_n \frac{\delta S[x]}{\delta x_k(\mathbf{r})} \right). \quad (7.38)$$

This coefficient is a functional in the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r})$ where the partial derivatives are replaced by functional derivatives. In order to continue the calculation we insert two spatial delta functions so that there are three integrals over space coordinates. After that we perform the functional derivatives first and evaluate two space

integrals with delta functions next. We perform a limit in the spatial coordinates carefully and then obtain

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = +k_B \int d^d r N_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r})) \lim_{\mathbf{r}' \rightarrow \mathbf{r}} \left(\partial_m \partial'_n \frac{\delta^2 S[x]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}) \delta x_k(\mathbf{r}')} \right). \quad (7.39)$$

Because of a partial integration in the space coordinates the sign has changed and one of the two differential operators has got a prime. This means that the operator ∂_m acts onto the unprimed space variable $\mathbf{r}$ where ∂'_n acts onto the primed space variable $\mathbf{r}'$. Now, we assume that the non-equilibrium state is composed of many local thermal equilibrium states as usual in the hydrodynamics. Consequently, the total entropy $S[x]$ can be written as a space integral of the local entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ according to $S[x] = \int d^d r \sigma(\mathbf{r})$. Then, we calculate the second functional derivative

$$\frac{\delta^2 S[x]}{\delta x_i(\mathbf{r}) \delta x_k(\mathbf{r}')} = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma(\mathbf{r})}{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}) \partial x_k(\mathbf{r})} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \quad (7.40)$$

and obtain the fluctuating part of the coefficient

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = -k_B \int d^d r N_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r})) \frac{\partial^2 \sigma(\mathbf{r})}{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}) \partial x_k(\mathbf{r})} \partial_m \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{0}). \quad (7.41)$$

Now, the spatial differential operators ∂_m and ∂_n act only onto the spatial delta function. Here we perform the limit explicitly by

$$\partial_m \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{0}) = -\lim_{\mathbf{r}' \rightarrow \mathbf{r}} \partial_m \partial'_n \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'). \quad (7.42)$$

Since the prime at one of the differential operators is lost the sign has changed once again.

Presently we identify $x_i(\mathbf{r})$ as the hydrodynamic variables of a normal fluid where one of the variables is the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$. Alternatively, we can use another set of hydrodynamic variables where one of the variables is the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$. The transformation between these two sets of hydrodynamic variables has been described and applied in section IV D. We can apply the transformation also onto the formula (7.41). For this purpose we replace the Onsager matrix $N_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r}))$ by the new matrix $\Lambda_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r}))$ which has some more symmetries and a somewhat simpler structure. Furthermore, in the numerator of the second derivative we replace the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ by the energy density $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and reverse the sign. Then, as a result we obtain

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = +k_B \int d^d r \Lambda_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r})) \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(\mathbf{r})}{\partial x_i(\mathbf{r}) \partial x_k(\mathbf{r})} \partial_m \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{0}). \quad (7.43)$$

We note that the transformation from (7.41) to (7.43) is exact without any approximations. In the next step for the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r})$ we explicitly insert the mass density $\rho(\mathbf{r})$, the momentum density $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$, and the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$. Symmetry arguments imply that many non-diagonal elements of the Onsager matrix $\Lambda_{im,kn}(x(\mathbf{r}))$ are zero. For the mass density ρ there is no dissipation at all. Hence, all matrix elements which involve the mass density are zero, too. Thus, as a result we obtain

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = +k_B \int d^d r \left[\Lambda_{im,kn}^{jj}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial j_i \partial j_k} + \Lambda_{m,n}^{\sigma\sigma}(x) \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial \sigma^2} \right] \partial_m \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{0}) \quad (7.44)$$

where the Onsager matrices $\Lambda_{im,kn}^{jj}(x)$ and $\Lambda_{m,n}^{\sigma\sigma}(x)$ are given explicitly by the formulas (4.77) and (4.78) and are expressed in terms of only three parameters, the shear viscosity η , the volume viscosity ζ , and the heat conductivity κ . The second derivatives of the energy density are calculated by the thermodynamic relations

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial j_i \partial j_k} = \left(\frac{\partial v_k}{\partial j_i} \right)_{\sigma, \rho} = \delta_{ik} \frac{1}{\rho}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon}{\partial \sigma^2} = \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \sigma} \right)_{\mathbf{j}, \rho} = \frac{T}{\rho c_V}. \quad (7.45)$$

Because of the invariance under Galilean transformations the energy density has the structure given in Eq. (4.37). This structure explains the simple result for the second derivative with respect to the momentum densities $\mathbf{j}(\mathbf{r})$. The second derivative with respect to the entropy density $\sigma(\mathbf{r})$ is more complicated and leads to the specific heat per mass at constant volume c_V which is an additional parameter. Using these results the formula (7.44) can be evaluated explicitly.

We note that the formula (7.44) has a constant factor which is the second spatial derivative of the spatial delta function at argument zero. This factor is infinitely large and represents an ultraviolet divergence. However, a normal

fluid is composed of many atoms or molecules. Hence, there will be a minimum length scale ℓ which we can use for a regularization. For this purpose we replace the delta function by a Gaussian function according to

$$\delta(\mathbf{r}) = [2\pi\ell^2]^{-d/2} \exp(-\mathbf{r}^2/2\ell^2) \quad (7.46)$$

and calculate the second spatial derivatives of the delta function at argument zero

$$\partial_m \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{0}) = -\delta_{mn} (2\pi)^{-d/2} \ell^{-(d+2)} . \quad (7.47)$$

We insert the Onsager matrices (4.77) and (4.78), the second derivatives of the energy density (7.45), and the second spatial derivatives of the delta function (7.47). Thus, from the formula (7.44) we obtain the explicit result

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] = -k_B \int d^d r \left[(d+2)(d-1) \frac{\eta}{\rho} + d \frac{\zeta}{\rho} + d \frac{\kappa}{\rho c_V} \right] \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \ell^{d+2}} . \quad (7.48)$$

The minimum length ℓ for the regularization of the delta function is in the denominator with a high power. If it is very small and close to the mean distance between the atoms or molecules then the result for the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x]$ is extremely large. On the other hand, if the minimum length ℓ is sufficiently large then the result is very small.

Furthermore, we need the total first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_S^{(1)}(x)$. For an estimation of the order of magnitude of this coefficient a mean-field approximation will be sufficient which neglects the effects of fluctuations. Thus, for a given physical non-equilibrium situation we determine the mean fields for the temperature $\langle T(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$, the velocity $\langle \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$, and for the chemical potential $\langle \mu(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$. Then, we insert these fields into the formula

$$\langle R \rangle = \langle \partial_k v_i \rangle \langle \Lambda_{ik,mn}^{jj} \rangle \langle \partial_n v_m \rangle + \langle \partial_k T \rangle \langle \Lambda_{kn}^{\sigma\sigma} \rangle \langle \partial_n T \rangle \quad (7.49)$$

and calculate the mean produced heat per volume and time $\langle R(\mathbf{r}, t) \rangle$. Eq. (7.49) is the mean-field approximation of (4.109). By inspection of the hydrodynamic equation (4.55) for the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_S^{(1)}(x)$ we eventually find an explicit formula in mean-field approximation which reads

$$K_S^{(1)}[x] \approx \int d^d r \frac{\langle R \rangle}{\langle T \rangle} . \quad (7.50)$$

E. Dependence on the minimum length

For a better understanding of the minimum length ℓ we turn back to the definition of the entropy $S(t)$ by the maximization (2.21) under the constraints (2.22) and (2.23). The entropy $S(t) = S[x(t)]$ is a functional of the relevant hydrodynamic variables $x_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$. It strongly depends on which of these variables and hence how many physical degrees of freedom are included in the constraints (2.22). For a regularization of the ultraviolet divergences related to singularities at small distances we choose the relevant hydrodynamic variables in a way so

that the spatial variations are considered only on length scales which are larger than the minimum length ℓ . In order to do this we represent the hydrodynamic variables in terms of a Fourier integral

$$x_i(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \theta(k_{\text{max}} - |\mathbf{k}|) e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} x_i(\mathbf{k}, t) \quad (7.51)$$

where the theta function restricts the wave vectors $\mathbf{k}$ to those within a sphere of radius $k_{\text{max}} = 2\pi/\ell$. As a result a coarse graining is achieved which is parameterized by the minimum length ℓ . The constraints in real space (2.22) are replaced by the corresponding constraints in the Fourier space

$$\text{Tr}\{\tilde{\varrho}(t) a_i(\mathbf{k})\} = x_i(\mathbf{k}, t) . \quad (7.52)$$

The number of these constraints is given by the number of the $\mathbf{k}$ vectors. Hence it is given by the volume of the sphere of radius $k_{\text{max}} = 2\pi/\ell$ in Fourier space times the volume V of the system in real space. Thus we obtain the number of physical degrees of freedom

$$\begin{aligned} N_F &= V \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \theta(k_{\text{max}} - |\mathbf{k}|) \\ &= \frac{V}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\Omega_d}{d} (k_{\text{max}})^d = \frac{\Omega_d}{d} \frac{V}{\ell^d} \end{aligned} \quad (7.53)$$

where $\Omega_d = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$ is the surface of the unit sphere and d is the dimension of the spaces.

Whenever the minimum length ℓ is small the number of the relevant physical degrees of freedom and hence the number of the constraints N_F will be large. In this case we expect that the fluctuations of the entropy $S(t)$ are accordingly large. This fact can be seen explicitly in the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient (7.48) which in this case is very large. Conversely, whenever the minimum length ℓ is large the number of constraints N_F is small so that the fluctuations of the entropy $S(t)$ will be small. Accordingly, the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient (7.48) will be very small.

The minimum length ℓ can be interpreted as a *flow parameter* of a *renormalization group*. If we increase ℓ then the length scale for the minimum resolution of the model of the physical system, i.e. the hydrodynamic equations, is coarsened. In the model the parameters like e.g. the Kramers-Moyal coefficients change with ℓ . Nevertheless, the physical properties of the system, i.e. the normal fluid, remain unchanged. In the infrared limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ we may expect a fixpoint in the space of the parameters. For the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient we find

$$K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \ell \rightarrow \infty. \quad (7.54)$$

This means that in the infrared limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient which represents the corrections to the fluctuation theorem becomes *irrelevant*. Thus, we arrive at the result: On large length scales ℓ the fluctuation theorem will remain valid in its original form. However, for small length scales ℓ the correction term (7.48) will be large and important.

As a consequence there occurs a continuous transition from small length scales ℓ , for which the correction term dominates, to large length scales for which the correction term is irrelevant. Now, we want to figure out at which critical length scale ℓ_c this transition will happen. We consider the dimensionless factor (7.37) in the exponential function of the modified fluctuation theorem (7.29) which describes the deviations from the original fluctuation theorem (7.12). By close inspection we obtain a reasonable definition for the critical minimum length ℓ_c in terms of the condition

$$\left| K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x] \right| = \left| K_S^{(1)}[x] \right| \iff \ell = \ell_c. \quad (7.55)$$

The two required Kramers-Moyal coefficients are defined in the formulas (7.48) and (7.50) together with (7.49). For $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}[x]$ we have a simple explicit formula. However, in order to calculate $K_S^{(1)}[x]$ we must consider a specific physical situation as e.g. a shear flow with a velocity gradient or a heat transport with a temperature gradient. Thus, as a result we obtain a unique explicit value for the critical minimum length ℓ_c .

However, there exists a much simpler estimation in order to obtain a crude and universal value for the critical minimum length ℓ_c . In experimental physics one commonly uses the SI units for measured quantities and for parameters. These units have the property that the number values of the quantities are located around unity with a tolerance of some orders of magnitudes. Here, for being specific we assume a tolerance of about 2.5 orders of mag-

nitudes upward and downward which is related to number values between 0.003 and 300. In the above formulas (7.48) and (7.50) together with (7.49) there are only two quantities which deviate from unity considerably. These are the Boltzmann constant $k_B = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K and the minimum length $\ell \ll 1$ m which both have very small number values. These quantities appear only in the combination of the ratio k_B/ℓ^{d+2} . Thus, we obtain a crude estimation for the critical minimum length ℓ_c if we require that in SI units the number value of this ratio is unity according to

$$k_B/\ell^{d+2} = 1 \text{ J/K m}^{d+2}. \quad (7.56)$$

Inserting $d = 3$ for the dimension of the space we obtain the critical minimum length

$$\ell_c = 2.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m} = 27 \mu\text{m}. \quad (7.57)$$

Because of the exponent $d+2 = 5$ in Eq. (7.56) the tolerance is reduced to only one half order of magnitude upward and downward. Thus, the critical minimum length is located within the interval $10^{-5} \text{ m} \lesssim \ell_c \lesssim 10^{-4} \text{ m}$. The smaller value is expected for normal fluids with large densities like water while the larger value is expected for gases with small densities like air.

F. Expectation value and variance of the entropy change

From the two Kramers-Moyal coefficients we may calculate the expectation value and the variance of the entropy change ΔS . We find the expectation value

$$\langle \Delta S \rangle = K_S^{(1)}(x) \Delta t \quad (7.58)$$

and the variance

$$\langle [\Delta S - \langle \Delta S \rangle]^2 \rangle = K_S^{(2)}(x) \Delta t. \quad (7.59)$$

The second Kramers-Moyal coefficient can be expressed in terms of the dissipative part of the first coefficient by using Eq. (7.35). Furthermore, we apply Eqs. (7.34) and (7.58). Thus, we obtain

$$\langle [\Delta S - \langle \Delta S \rangle]^2 \rangle / (k_B)^2 = \left[\langle \Delta S \rangle - K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x) \Delta t \right] / k_B. \quad (7.60)$$

Next, we insert the fluctuating part of the first coefficient (7.48). Thus, for the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid we find the explicit result

$$\langle [\Delta S - \langle \Delta S \rangle]^2 \rangle / (k_B)^2 = \langle \Delta S \rangle / k_B + \int d^d r \left[(d+2)(d-1) \frac{\eta}{\rho} + d \frac{\zeta}{\rho} + d \frac{\varkappa}{\rho c_V} \right] \frac{\Delta t}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \ell^{d+2}}. \quad (7.61)$$

The entropy change ΔS is an extensive quantity. Hence, it is proportional to the volume V of the system. Similarly, it is proportional to the time interval Δt . For this reason in the formulas (7.60) and (7.61) both terms on the right-hand sides have a factor $V\Delta t$, which can be taken outside the brackets. Consequently, the relative magnitudes of the two terms with respect to each other is influenced neither by the volume V of the system nor by the considered time interval Δt .

We may interpret the two terms in the following way. The first term in Eq. (7.61) is proportional to the average change of the entropy. It is zero in thermal equilibrium and positive in a non-equilibrium state. Hence, the first term is the *non-equilibrium* contribution to the variance of the entropy change. On the other hand, the second term in Eq. (7.61) is an integral over local quantities which are calculated in the local thermal equilibrium. For this reason we may interpret the second term as the contribution of the *equilibrium fluctuations* to the variance of the entropy.

In its original form the fluctuation theorem includes only the first term of the formulas (7.60) and (7.61). This means, the original fluctuation theorem takes only the non-equilibrium contribution into account. On the other hand, the second term which is the correction term and which causes the modification of the fluctuation theorem may be interpreted as the contribution of the equilibrium fluctuations. In the last two subsections we have figured out that the correction term strongly depends on the minimum length scale ℓ which regularizes the singularities on short length scales and implies a coarse graining. Thus, the question whether in Eqs. (7.60) and (7.61) the first term or the second term dominates depends on whether the minimum length ℓ is larger or smaller than the critical minimum length ℓ_c which has been estimated roughly in Eqs. (7.55)-(7.57).

In summary we conclude. We find an extension and modification of the fluctuation theorem and calculate a correction term which is due to the equilibrium fluctuations of the entropy. Whether this correction term is large and important or small and irrelevant depends strongly on the minimum length scale ℓ and its relation to a specific critical value ℓ_c .

G. Modified Jarzynski equation for the entropy

Finally, we derive a modified version of the Jarzynski equation (7.13) where the entropy change $\Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ is the variable. First we calculate the left-hand side of the fluctuation theorem (7.12). As usual we insert the conditional probabilities taken from (7.26) with different signs of the arguments. However, we additionally shift the arguments of both conditional probabilities by an

extra term $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{P(+\Delta S + K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t|x)}{P(-\Delta S + K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t|x)} = \exp(\Delta S/k_B). \quad (7.62)$$

Obviously, the right-hand side agrees with the fluctuation theorem in the original form. In the next step we rearrange the factors in the equation and shift the entropy change according to $\Delta S \rightarrow \Delta S - K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t$. Then, we find the equivalent equation

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-[\Delta S - K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t]/k_B) P(\Delta S|x) &= \\ &= P(-\Delta S + 2 K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t|x). \end{aligned} \quad (7.63)$$

We integrate this equation over ΔS . The normalization of the conditional probability distribution function implies the right-hand side to become unity. Thus, we obtain the modified Jarzynski equation

$$\langle \exp(-[\Delta S - K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x)\Delta t]/k_B) \rangle = 1. \quad (7.64)$$

If here we insert the entropy change in the form $\Delta S = S(x') - S(x)$ we may alternatively calculate the expectation value by using the more general conditional probability distribution function $P(x'|x)$ and integrating over the hydrodynamic variables of the final state x'_i .

The equations (7.62)-(7.64) have been derived for infinitesimally small time intervals Δt only. However, the modified Jarzynski equation (7.64) can be extended exactly and without any approximations to an arbitrarily large and finite time interval Δt . The reason for this possibility is the fact that the right-hand side of Eq. (7.64) is unity and does not depend on the variables of the initial state x_i . Thus, we divide the finite time interval Δt into an infinite number of infinitesimally small time intervals. For each of these infinitesimal time intervals there is a modified Jarzynski equation. We multiply all these modified Jarzynski equations together, take the integrations over the hydrodynamic variables outside the brackets, reorder the factors inside the multiple integral and then obtain the result

$$\left\langle \exp\left(-\left[\Delta S - \int K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x(t)) dt\right]/k_B\right) \right\rangle = 1. \quad (7.65)$$

The product of the infinite number of conditional probabilities $P(x'|x)$ for each infinitesimal time interval results in a joined probability for the paths of the hydrodynamic variables $x_i(t)$. In this way in Eq. (7.65) the expectation value becomes a path integral. Only the hydrodynamic variables of the initial state x_i are free variables because we started from conditional probabilities. However, if furthermore we multiply with a probability distribution function for the initial state $P_0(x)$ and integrate over x_i then there will be no free variables any more. The path integral integrates over all variables $x_i(t)$ of the whole time interval Δt .

Eq. (7.65) is the modified Jarzynski equation for any arbitrarily large finite time interval Δt . It is exactly valid

and differs from the original Jarzynski equation (7.13) [11, 12] by the additional term with the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x(t))$. We recover the original Jarzynski equation (7.13) in the special case $K_{S,\text{fluc}}^{(1)}(x(t)) = 0$. On the other hand we have calculated the fluctuating part of the first coefficient explicitly for a normal fluid. The result (7.48) depends strongly on the minimum length ℓ which causes a regularization and a coarse graining so that only the variations and fluctuations of the hydrodynamic variables on length scales larger than this minimum length are taken into account. Whether the additional term is small and irrelevant or large and important depends on whether the minimum length ℓ is larger or smaller than the critical minimum length ℓ_c defined in Eqs. (7.55)-(7.57). Consequently, the additional term in the modified Jarzynski equation (7.65) may not be neglected generally for a normal fluid.

Finally, we have a special remark on the thermal equilibrium where $\langle \Delta S \rangle_{\text{eq}} = 0$. While in this case the original Jarzynski equation forces a sharp conditional probability $P(\Delta S|x) = \delta(\Delta S)$ with zero width the additional term implies that the modified Jarzynski equation is less restrictive so that the conditional probability $P(\Delta S|x)$ may have a finite width even in thermal equilibrium.

H. Comparison of the fluctuation theorems

Within the framework of the GENERIC formalism we have derived and investigated the fluctuation theorem in two different variants, first in the original form (subsections VII A and VII B) for an entropy like variable $\Delta \Sigma$ and second in a modified form (subsections VII C to VII G) for the entropy change ΔS .

For the modified fluctuation theorem we have found an additional term which is related to the entropy fluctuations in thermal equilibrium. This additional term is important for the consistency of the theory. On the other hand, for the original fluctuation theorem this additional term does not exist. Rather the variable $\Delta \Sigma$ is not just the entropy change ΔS but has a second term. Thus, there is an additional term either *explicitly* in the fluctuation theorem or *implicitly* in the variable.

The original fluctuation theorem is a consequence of micro reversibility and the principle of detailed balance. On the other hand the modified fluctuation theorem is derived by using an explicit distribution function for the conditional probability and the first two Kramers-Moyal coefficients of the entropy. The explicit structure of these Kramers-Moyal coefficients eventually implies the fluctuation theorem together with the additional term. This structure is determined by the GENERIC formalism which again is related to the micro reversibility and the principle of detailed balance. In this way, eventually also the modified fluctuation theorem is a consequence of the time-inversion invariance of the underlying microscopic

physical system and the resulting principle of detailed balance.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

From the microscopic theory of an interacting many-particle system the generalized hydrodynamic equations were derived by using the methods of quantum statistics and projection operators. At first the equations are exact. They are nonlocal in space and time and include memory effects and fluctuations. The equations have a special fundamental structure. On the right-hand side there are three kinds of contributions: reversible, dissipative and fluctuating terms. Already the exact equations can be written in a generalized form of the GENERIC formalism by Grmela and Öttinger [5–7].

The approximations do not change the fundamental structure of the equations. If we neglect the memory effects which results in a Markov approximation we obtain the hydrodynamic equations in a form which corresponds to the original version of the GENERIC formalism. If we furthermore consider a normal fluid and neglect the non-local effects we obtain the hydrodynamic equations with Gaussian fluctuations which are well known from standard text books [3, 4]. Applying symmetry arguments we find that in a normal fluid the effects of dissipation and fluctuations are described by three parameters, the shear viscosity η , the volume viscosity ζ , and the thermal conductivity κ .

The exact generalized hydrodynamic equations of section III are invariant under the inversion of time since we assume that the underlying microscopic theory does have this property. We investigated in which way the time-inversion invariance distributes over the three terms on the right-hand sides of the equations. The reversible terms are naturally invariant for themselves. Consequently, also the sum of the dissipative terms and the fluctuating terms is invariant under time inversion. However, the dissipative and fluctuating terms taken individually break the time-inversion invariance. This fact can be seen clearly in the time-evolution equation for the entropy. Here the dissipative term is quadratic and positive definite. It results in a growth of the entropy with the time and represents the foundation of the second law of thermodynamics. Only the fluctuating term can counteract this growth and decrease the entropy once again. Thus, whenever the fluctuating terms are taken into account the invariance under time inversion remains in force. This fact is not influenced qualitatively by the approximations which lead to the hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid.

If we neglect the memory effects then we obtain the hydrodynamic equations in the form of Langevin equations where the fluctuating terms are modeled by Gaussian stochastic forces. We compare our results with the theory of stochastic process and notice that fluctuating hydrodynamics is equivalent to a stochastic theory with

Gaussian fluctuations. A related Fokker-Planck equation can be found whose solution in thermal equilibrium is a grand canonical Boltzmann distribution function. Eventually, we show that in thermal equilibrium the entropy remains constant on average as expected. Even though the equilibrium fluctuations imply that the positive definite dissipative term yields a continuous growth also in thermal equilibrium, this effect is compensated exactly on average by the fluctuating term.

As a final conclusion we note that a stochastic theory with Gaussian fluctuating forces can be formulated which is completely consistent and free of any contradictions. We have developed our considerations for the general nonlocal hydrodynamic equations in the GENERIC form. However, we find that our considerations are not restricted to this general form. Rather, they are valid also for the special local hydrodynamic equations of a normal fluid. As an explicit example we have always considered a normal and simple fluid. However, our considerations together with the GENERIC formalism are valid more generally and may be applied to more complex fluids as e.g. mixtures of several components without and with chemical reactions [59–61].

Furthermore, we have shown how the derivation of the fluctuation theorem of Crooks [19–21] can be transferred to the GENERIC formalism and the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid. However, it turns out, that the variable of this fluctuation theorem is not just the entropy change but rather the entropy change plus an additional term. For this reason we have alternatively derived a *modified*

version of the fluctuation theorem and of the Jarzynski equation where the variable is the entropy change only. However, in this latter case we find an additional term in the fluctuation theorem itself which originates from the fluctuating part of the first Kramers-Moyal coefficient of the entropy.

We calculate the additional term explicitly for a normal fluid and find an ultraviolet divergence. In order to obtain a finite and physically reasonable result we must perform a regularization by introducing a minimum length scale up to which the spatial variations and fluctuations of the hydrodynamic variables are taken into account. We find that the regularized additional term strongly depends on this minimum length. Depending on whether the minimum length is larger or smaller than a particular critical length the additional term is small and irrelevant or large and important, respectively. Thus, we conclude that for the hydrodynamics of a normal fluid the fluctuation theorem and the Jarzynski equation must generally be modified by an additional term whenever the variable is the entropy change of the fluid.

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Prof. Dr. M. Fuchs for inspiring discussions and Prof. Dr. H. C. Öttinger and Prof. Dr. U. Seifert for helpful comments on the manuscript.

-
- [1] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids* (Academic Press, London 1986).
 - [2] G. D. Mahan, *Many-particle physics* (Kluwer Academic / Plenum Publishers, New York 2000).
 - [3] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical physics, part 2*, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 9 (Pergamon press, Oxford 1980).
 - [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid mechanics*, Course of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 6 (Pergamon press, Oxford 1987).
 - [5] M. Grmela and H. C. Öttinger, *Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism*, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 6620–6632 (1997).
 - [6] H. C. Öttinger and M. Grmela, *Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. II. Illustration of a general formalism*, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 6633–6655 (1997).
 - [7] H. C. Öttinger, *Beyond equilibrium thermodynamics* (Wiley, Hoboken, NJ 2005).
 - [8] D. J. Evans, E. G. D. Cohen, and G. P. Morriss, *Probability of second law violations in shearing steady states*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 2401–2404 (1993).
 - [9] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, *Equilibrium microstates which generate second law violating steady states*, Phys. Rev. E **50** 1645–1648 (1994).
 - [10] D. J. Evans and D. J. Searles, *The fluctuation theorem*, Adv. Phys. **51**, 1529–1585 (2002).
 - [11] C. Jarzynski, *Nonequilibrium equality for free energy differences*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2690–2693 (1997).
 - [12] C. Jarzynski, *Equilibrium free-energy differences from nonequilibrium measurements: A master-equation approach*, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 5018–5035 (1997).
 - [13] L. P. Kadanoff and P. C. Martin, *Hydrodynamic equations and correlation functions*, Ann. Phys. **24**, 419–469 (1963).
 - [14] D. Forster, *Hydrodynamic fluctuations, broken symmetry, and correlation functions* (Benjamin, Reading, MA 1975).
 - [15] H. Grabert, *Projection operator techniques in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics*, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, Vol. 95 (Springer, Berlin 1982).
 - [16] B. Robertson, *Equations of motion in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics*, Phys. Rev. **144**, 151–161 (1966).
 - [17] E. Fick and G. Sauermann, *The quantum statistics of dynamic processes* (Springer, Berlin 1990).
 - [18] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii and G. E. Volovik, *Poisson brackets in condensed matter physics*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **125**, 67–97 (1980).
 - [19] G. E. Crooks, *Nonequilibrium measurements of free energy differences for microscopically reversible Markovian systems*, J. Stat. Phys. **90**, 1481–1487 (1998).
 - [20] G. E. Crooks, *Entropy production fluctuation theorem and the nonequilibrium work relation for free energy differences*, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 2721–2726 (1999).

- [21] G. E. Crooks, *Path-ensemble averages in systems driven far from equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 2361–2366 (2000).
- [22] W. Brenig, *Statistical theory of heat: Nonequilibrium phenomena* (Springer, Berlin 1989).
- [23] F. J. Belinfante, *On the spin angular momentum of mesons*, Physica **6**, 887–898 (1939).
- [24] P. C. Martin, O. Parodi, and P. S. Pershan, *Unified hydrodynamic theory for crystals, liquid crystals, and normal fluids*, Phys. Rev. A **6**, 2401–2420 (1972).
- [25] K. Kawasaki and J. D. Gunton, *Theory of nonlinear transport processes: nonlinear shear viscosity and normal stress effects*, Phys. Rev. A, **8**, 2048–2064 (1973).
- [26] S. Nakajima, *On quantum theory of transport phenomena*, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Kyoto) **20**, 948–959 (1958).
- [27] R. Zwanzig, *Ensemble method in the theory of irreversibility*, J. Chem. Phys. **33**, 1338–1341 (1960).
- [28] R. Zwanzig, *Memory effects in irreversible thermodynamics*, Phys. Rev. **124**, 983–992 (1961).
- [29] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford 2001).
- [30] H. Mori, *Transport, collective motion, and Brownian motion*, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Kyoto) **33**, 423–455 (1965).
- [31] H. Mori, *A continued-fraction representation of the time-correlation functions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. (Kyoto) **34**, 399–416 (1965).
- [32] S. R. de Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-equilibrium thermodynamics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam 1962).
- [33] D. Forster, *Hydrodynamics and correlation functions in ordered systems: nematic liquid crystals*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **84**, 505–534 (1974).
- [34] D. Forster, *Microscopic flow alignment in nematic liquid crystals*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 1161–1164 (1974).
- [35] M. D. Schwartz, *Quantum field theory and the standard model* (Cambridge University Press, New York 2013).
- [36] C. P. Enz and L. A. Turski, *On the Fokker-Planck description of compressible fluids*, Physica A **96**, 369–378 (1979).
- [37] W. van Saarloos, D. Bedeaux, and P. Mazur, *Hydrodynamics for an ideal fluid: Hamiltonian formalism and Liouville-equation*, Physica A **107**, 109–125 (1981).
- [38] R. Graham and H. Haken, *Generalized thermodynamic potential for Markoff systems in detailed balance and far from thermal equilibrium*, Z. Phys. **243**, 289–302 (1971).
- [39] R. Graham and H. Haken, *Fluctuations and stability of stationary non-equilibrium systems in detailed balance*, Z. Phys. **245**, 141–153 (1971).
- [40] R. Graham, *Statistical theory of instabilities in stationary nonequilibrium systems with applications to Lasers and nonlinear optics*, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, Vol. 66 (Springer, Berlin 1973), pp. 1–97.
- [41] R. L. Stratonovich, *General theory of Random processes nonlinear transformations of signals and noise*, Topics in the theory of random noise, Vol. 1 (Gordon and Breach, New York, NY 1963).
- [42] N. G. van Kampen, *Stochastic processes in physics and chemistry* (Elsevier / North Holland, Amsterdam 2007).
- [43] P. M. Chaikin and T. C. Lubensky, *Principles of condensed matter physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995).
- [44] H. C. Öttinger, *Derivation of a two-generator framework of nonequilibrium thermodynamics for quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 4720–4724 (2000).
- [45] H. C. Öttinger, *General projection operator formalism for the dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids*, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 1416–1420 (1998).
- [46] D. N. Zubarev and V. G. Morozov, *Statistical mechanics of nonlinear hydrodynamic fluctuations*, Physica A **120**, 411–467 (1983).
- [47] V. G. Morozov, *On the Langevin formalism for nonlinear and nonequilibrium hydrodynamic fluctuations*, Physica A **126**, 443–460 (1984).
- [48] B. Kim and G. F. Mazenko, *Equations of fluctuating nonlinear hydrodynamics for normal fluids*, J. Stat. Phys. **64**, 631–652 (1991).
- [49] D. J. Amit, *Field theory, the renormalization group, and critical phenomena*, (World Scientific, Singapore 1984).
- [50] G. M. Wang, E. N. Sevick, E. Mittag, D. J. Searles, and D. J. Evans, *Experimental demonstration of violations of the second law of thermodynamics for small systems and short time scales*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 050601 (2002).
- [51] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, *Dynamical ensembles in nonequilibrium statistical mechanics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2694–2697 (1995).
- [52] G. Gallavotti and E. G. D. Cohen, *Dynamical ensembles in stationary states*, J. Stat. Phys. **80**, 931–970 (1995).
- [53] J. Kurchan, *Fluctuation theorem for stochastic mechanics*, J. Phys. A **31**, 3719–3729 (1998).
- [54] J. L. Lebowitz and H. Spohn, *A Gallavotti-Cohen-type symmetry in the large deviation functional for stochastic dynamics*, J. Stat. Phys. **95**, 333–365 (1999).
- [55] H.-K. Janssen, *On a Lagrangian for classical field dynamics and renormalization group calculations of dynamical critical properties*, Z. Phys. B **23**, 377–380 (1976).
- [56] C. de Dominicis, *Techniques de renormalisation de la théorie des champs et dynamique des phénomènes critique*, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. **37**, C1-247–C1-253 (1976).
- [57] U. Seifert, *Entropy production along a stochastic trajectory and an integral fluctuation theorem*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 040602 (2005).
- [58] U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines*, Rep. Prog. Phys. **75**, 126001 (2012).
- [59] H. C. Öttinger, *Constraints in nonequilibrium thermodynamics: General framework and application to multicomponent diffusion*, J. Chem. Phys. **130**, 114904 (2009).
- [60] K. Balakrishnan, A. L. Garcia, A. Donev, and J. B. Bell, *Fluctuating hydrodynamics of multi-species nonreactive mixtures*, Phys. Rev. E **89** 013017 (2014).
- [61] A. K. Bhattacherjee, K. Balakrishnan, A. L. Garcia, J. B. Bell, and A. Donev, *Fluctuating hydrodynamics of multi-species reactive mixtures*, J. Chem. Phys. **142**, 224107 (2015).